

1		Minutes		
2		Roseville Community Engagement Commission (CEC)		
3		Thursday, July 14, 2016 - 6:30 p.m.		
4	1.	Roll Call		
5		Chair Scot Becker called the meeting to order at approximately 6:30 p.m. and		
6		City Manager Trudgeon called the roll.		
7		eny manager rivage on ear		
8		Commissioners Present:	Chair Scot Becker; Vice Chair Theresa Gardella;	
9			and Commissioners Amber Sattler, Chelsea Holub,	
10			Erik Tomlinson and newly-appointed	
11			Commissioner Peter Sparby	
12				
13		Commissioners Absent:	Commissioners Michelle Manke	
14				
15		Staff Present: Staff	Liaison/City Manager Patrick Trudgeon	
16				
17	2.	Approve Agenda		
18		Commissioner Tomlinson moved, Commissioner Sattler seconded, approval of		
19		the agenda as presented.		
20				
21		Ayes: 6		
22		Nays: 0		
23		Motion carried.		
24				
25	3.	Swear In New Commissioner		
26		Commissioner Sparby was sworn in by Chair Becker and welcomed by his		
27		colleagues on the CEC.		
28				
29	4.	Public Comment on Items Not on Agenda		
30		None.		
31				
32	5.	Approval of June 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes		
33		Comments and corrections to draft minutes had been submitted by various CEC		
34		Commissioners prior to tonight's meeting and those revisions were incorporated		
35		into the draft presented in th	ne tonight's agenda packet.	
36		G		
37		Commissioner Tomlinson moved, Commissioner Sattler seconded, approval of		
38		June 9, 2016 meeting minute	es as amended.	
39		G C		
40		<u>Corrections</u> :		
41		• Page 4, Lines 160-161 (City Manager Trudgeon)		
42		Strike entire paragraph.		
43		• Page 15, Line 641 (Tomlinson)		
44		I ypographical correction	n: Change to read: "recommendations on"	

Ayes: 6 Nays: 0

Motion carried.

6. Old Business

a. Recap of Rosefest Parade and Party in the Park

Party in the Park

From his personal perspective, Chair Becker commended this event, opining it went off well, with a better booth, more engagement and topics. Chair Becker noted the presence of Councilmembers Etten and Laliberte; in addition to five or more commissioners representing other advisory commissions, allowing for broader participation. Chair Becker noted the popularity of the straw voting on four topics taken from the Speak Up! Roseville website. Chair Becker questioned the popularity of handouts, with comments from those visiting the booth stating they didn't want to carry them with; and suggested next more interactive information be provided or perhaps smaller information sources (e.g. pocket sized).

 Overall, Chair Becker spoke in support of having a presence at the event; and suggested a larger booth to accommodate other advisory commissioners; and to begin earlier next year to encourage their involvement.

Commissioner Holub agreed with Chair Becker about the Party in the Park event; and gave a special shout out to Commissioner Manke for her graphic design work for that and the parade. Commissioner Holub also thanked City Manager Trudgeon and his staff for pulling together the variety of information for the CEC to hand out at the event.

Chair Becker thanked Commissioner Holub for thanking Commissioner Manke; and recognized Commissioner Sattler and her husband, as well as Commissioner Holub for toting items to the park and helping plan and man the event.

From her perspective, Commissioner Sattler thought there was good citizen engagement at the booth, noting how popular the polling idea had been as suggested by Commissioner Holub, especially how well the stickers worked and lured people in to vote and interact. Whether or not they took anything away, there were ideas submitted by citizens who were assured someone was listening to their comments. Commissioner Sattler commented on the nice banners and posters designed by Commissioner Manke; noting they could be re-used next year, and overall she approved the set-up of the booth. While the polling focus needed further refinement

going forward, Commissioner Sattler noted it had got engagement happening and got citizens interested in stopping in.

Commissioner Holub noted the promise made by the CEC that results of the straw poll would be provide to the City Council.

Chair Becker agreed that needed to be discussed tonight to determine consensus on next steps.

Of the four topics chosen, Commissioner Sattler noted the clear winner appeared to be an interest in a community center with a pool.

Chair Becker noted other topics included elimination of plastic bags in Roseville, an additional dog park, and his suggestion for a "Trunk or Treat" option to Halloween. At the request of his colleagues, Chair Becker advised this idea had been used at his children's preschool, where car trunks were decorated in the parking lot at a central location rather than children going door-to-door. Chair Becker noted his idea had lost; and agreed the winning idea was that of a community center with a pool, the majority choice. Chair Becker agreed the promise had been made by the CEC that findings would be provided to the City Council. Chair Becker suggested that feedback be presented to the City Council to show how the CEC engaged the community and the winning idea.

City Manager Trudgeon suggested that as a great addition to the agenda for the joint meeting of the City Council and CEC, providing the opportunity and ability to toss the information off to the City Council.

Rosefest Parade

Specific to the parade. Chair Becker noted the CEC was shorthanded with only three commissioners present; and noted the assistance from Mayor Roe coming to the rescue to pull the candy wagon through the parade after his "float" had completed the parade. Chair Becker stated he had questioned the need for participation in the parade for the last few years, especially when only the CEC commissioners are present, without representation from a broader group. Chair Becker suggested examining the purpose of being in the parade; and while the first year or two the CEC talked about how and why they existed, he wondered about the CEC's future role in that event. Chair Becker suggested either evaluating continuing participation of the CEC in next year's parade, or at a minimum and well in advance defining its presence. If a broader advisory commission approach was used. Chair Becker opined that the parade could then be used as an information vehicle, or to highlight a specific project in which the group was engaged, and viable with smaller numbers of commissioners present.

In terms of the parade, Commissioner Holub agreed that next year consideration was needed to identify a specific angle or to discuss the parade itself and the CEC's participation. Commissioner Holub noted talk among commissioners at the parade itself; especially given the length of this year's parade and long wait time in the parking lot (several hours) and thinned-out crowd by the time the CEC's turn came up. If the CEC continues their presence in the parade, Commissioner Holub suggested an approach to reconsider the logistics of the parade to make it more efficient and community-friendly.

City Manager Trudgeon noted the long history of the community parade, and participation by numerous marching bands, with nine to ten appearing this year, and serving as a great draw for the parade. As a side note, City Manager Trudgeon noted that since the advisory commissions' affiliation is with the city, they needed to be moved up, perhaps with the City Manager, Mayor and other city-affiliated participations.

Commissioner Holub suggested moving the band participants closer to the end of the parade; with City Manager Trudgeon duly noting the comments for the Park and Recreation Commission and their volunteer parade committee. As another option, City Manager Trudgeon noted this volunteer committee would probably welcome additional volunteers in planning the parade logistics.

Chair Becker opined it would be interesting to hear from the Parks & Recreation Commission as to their take on the parade, since they were in the line-up after the CEC. While unsure of a solution for the bands, an obvious draw, Chair Becker suggested some way to speed up the parade from a purely entertainment, routing, performance standpoint.

b. Priority Project Update: Recommend ways to expand city learning and engagement opportunities

With Party in the Park and parade activities, Commissioner Holub advised that no update was available, but would be addressed and reported on in the near future.

c. Priority Project Update: Form strategies for outreach to underrepresented groups

Commissioner Gardella provided a draft agenda as a bench handout for the work group meeting scheduled for July 25, 2016, *attached hereto and made a part hereof*, and summarized intended discussion items. Commissioner Gardella noted strategies/tools could also include other conversations about the upcoming comprehensive plan update process, Roseville University, and other upcoming opportunities to test potential tools, strategies and pilot programs for recommendation to the City Council.

Chair Becker noted the clarification and discussion of what the City Council was looking for from the CEC was timely given the upcoming joint meeting.

As Commissioner Sparby considered which areas in which he chose to become involved on the CEC, Chair Becker suggested this group may be of interest to him.

d. Priority Project Update: Assist in the formulation of the 2017 comprehensive plan update process

Commissioner Tomlinson advised that he had viewed the City Council meeting tape and their subsequent decision for the scope to focus on a technical update, more clearly identifying some of the items as part of that process. Specific to the CEC's involvement, Commissioner Tomlinson noted the goal was for community engagement upfront rather than at the last as had been done during the 2008 update. Commissioner Tomlinson noted the various zones included in the comprehensive plan, but concerns that the areas were too large to facilitate townhall type meetings. Noting the number of questions arising from this initial City Council discussion with staff, Commissioner Tomlinson opined there was clearly a role for the CEC to assist; and suggested they also be included in the consultant interview process. Commissioner Tomlinson noted the intent of the City Council was also for the chosen consultant to propose a process for community engagement.

City Manager noted the original Request for Proposals (RFP) timeline had been someone aggressive, and after the initial discussion, had been pushed back somewhat.

To help inform the CEC, City Manager Trudgeon provided the CEC with a copy of the City Council's June 13, 2016 meeting minutes so they could follow their discussion. Mr. Trudgeon noted the City Council's decision for a project scope for a technical and content update; and an engagement strategy for a consultant to suggest an engagement process in conjunction with the CEC presentation in the review of the RFPs. Mr. Trudgeon noted through using ideas from the consultant as well as input from the CEC, it would better serve the community on best practice techniques to engage as much of the community and its stakeholders as possible. Mr. Trudgeon suggested the CEC subcommittee continue to meet and discuss process ideas and tools for recommendation to the full CEC and subsequently the City Council going forward.

City Manager Trudgeon noted he and Commissioner Tomlinson, and welcomed any other CEC commissioners for a meeting before the next CEC meeting, at which time they could provide a more detailed update.

Commissioner Holub offered any additional support on this subcommittee as needed to Commissioner Tomlinson; with thanks from Commissioner Tomlinson and advising that since he was still reviewing things, he'd keep the CEC informed of any additional assistance needed.

At the prompting of Chair Becker, Commissioner Sparby noted he did find this particular priority of interest, and offered to assist Commissioner Tomlinson as needed.

Chair Becker suggested that would work well, as Commissioner Sparby's predecessor was also involved with this priority.

Commissioner Gardella asked if the City Council was open to suggestions for community engagement.

City Manager Trudgeon responded affirmatively, noting the City Council had mentioned using the "meeting in a box" tool used in the past as one option; as well as more formal situations for smaller groups outside city staff (e.g. block parties, Night to Unite, questionnaires, maps, soliciting public opinion, etc.) to empower other citizens by reaching out to them versus asking them to show up at City Hall. Mr. Trudgeon noted the Park Renewal Program process used similar public meetings and involved neighbors, and advised that the City Council was definitely interested in using that successful model. However, as noted in the City Council meeting minutes, Mr. Trudgeon noted they were interested in any ideas that would result in getting a broad range of opinions, especially for those residents not typically showing up at an open house or formal meeting.

At the request of Commissioner Sparby, City Manager Trudgeon revised some of the key dates in the Metropolitan Council's update for comprehensive plans done every ten years, and deadline of December 31, 2018 for submission of this latest update. Mr. Trudgeon stated the goal was to get started this fall on background information and various components, and then hit the process hard in 2017 to meet those deadlines. Mr. Trudgeon noted that with Roseville a built-out community, there wasn't a need to completely redo the plan, but only update those technical issues outside land use patterns already guided for the most part, with few exceptions. Mr. Trudgeon noted that the last update had gotten a later start; and therefore had asked for and received an extension; noting most plan updates took about two years for completion as all the issues were sorted out.

Chair Becker noted that at some point, from the CEC's standpoint, their involvement would cease.

City Manager Trudgeon noted that all city departments and their related advisory commissions would be consulted at some point once the plan scope is clearly approved by the City Council. For the CEC's engagement specifically, Mr. Trudgeon stated he know they would be engaged in the beginning, but probably also be involved in some form of participation throughout the entire process, with check-ins at the CEC level before moving on to the City Council.

Commissioner Gardella stated this dovetailed well with priority projects and strategies of the CEC; and also offered her assistance to Commissioner Tomlinson as needed to put some of her subcommittee's ideas into practice.

City Manager Trudgeon duly noted those offers of assistance; and Chair Becker suggested using the CEC meetings for some of that feedback as well.

e. Priority Project Update: Advocate for select items from 2014 CEC recommended policies and strategies

Chair Becker advised that he had been unable to pursue this priority since the last meeting; and promised to do a better job before the next meeting. Chair Becker thanked City Manager Trudgeon for the memorandum (Attachment 6) and asked each subcommittee chair to share their updates to include as part of the monthly updates by providing them to City Manager Trudgeon and to include for each meeting. Chair Becker asked that commissioners provide those updates about a week before the packet deadline.

Chair Becker also sought input from City Manager Trudgeon on priority items g.3, a, b and c for website updates; dependent on the date those meeting minutes were approved. City Manager Trudgeon noted initially this would be a work in progress.

Specific to the possibility of a townhall meeting, Chair Becker suggested consulting with the City Council at their upcoming joint meeting.

7. New Business

a. Review Community Survey Results

City Manager Trudgeon provided a presentation, as shown at the recent City Council meeting of June 11, 2016 providing results of the 2016 community survey. A copy of the entire presentation was made available to the CEC, attached hereto and made a part hereof, allowing individual commissioners to review the results at their leisure. Mr. Trudgeon urged commissioners to watch the full presentation and discussion, with a link on the city website, providing significant information.

 City Manager Trudgeon highlighted a few areas of specific interest to the CEC, including graphs and narrative showing comparisons with the 2014 survey and this latest one in the areas of community identity, neighborhood connections, acceptance within the community, empowerment by city government, and approval ratings for the Mayor, City Council and city staff. Beyond bragging, Mr. Trudgeon noted those areas identified for improvement appeared to be meeting or exceeding goals, and showing a positive uptrend, attributing those figures to improved efforts, including the work of the CEC and improving trust levels.

Regarding construction of a community center (page 21), City Manager Trudgeon noted the significant support for it; but also noted the more generic question didn't include options or willingness by those respondents in how or whether they would be willing to pay for it. Mr. Trudgeon noted this would require a more specific study if the initiative went forward, also noting the term "community center" meant many things to many people. While unsure at this point whether or not the City Council will choose to pursue it, Mr. Trudgeon noted it served to reinforce the CEC's questions at the Party in the Park about a community center and the strong support it had also received.

Of further interest to the CEC, City Manager Trudgeon noted the sources of information used by residents (page 22) and continuing information residents received from the *City News* newsletter (page 23) and impressive readership numbers it received. Mr. Trudgeon noted the new design and upgrades, including more pages, undertaken several years ago to provide a more creative and relevant resource. Mr. Trudgeon noted his difficulty in following the social media graphs, and questioned the accuracy of those numbers especially as they related to "Speak Up! Roseville" since it had just been launched not that long ago. However, Mr. Trudgeon noted the need to make sure the city continued to provide that channel for those using that resource.

Specific to raw data included in the packet, Commissioner Gardella asked if the survey provided comparison for changing community demographics, including those renting, owning, or racial demographics, and where those shifts were found, or increases in ethnic populations. Commissioner Gardella asked if the 400 random samples clearly mirrored the city's overall demographic.

Chair Becker also asked how the demographics of these 400 samples compared with Roseville's census data.

City Manager Trudgeon responded that he would need to check with the Morris Leatherman Company to see if they had those specific breakdowns. City Manager Trudgeon reported the city had 15,000 housing units, 10,000 owner-occupied, and 5,000 renters; but was unable to address specific demographics beyond that.

Commissioner Holub stated she had actually looked it up, and the survey had proven pretty close to census data, and commended the survey for reflecting that so well. Commissioner Holub asked if there was a way to further break down the data to determine trends among different demographics of residents.

City Manager Trudgeon again advised he was not sure, but he recognized the value in doing so; and opined as some level the information should be available. While the information provided in the bench handout and in the meeting packet was what the city received, Mr. Trudgeon noted the company may have more details it tracked.

Commissioner Gardella sought additional information on how the city's demographics may be changing, based on a two-year comparison and snapshot.

Specific to the first question or graph about "community identity", Commissioner Tomlinson asked how the question was posed and how surveyors defined that term.

City Manager Trudgeon clarified that the questions before the commission constituted the exact script read by survey callers, and if complicated issues, no additional explanation was provided other than as scripted with no clarifying points given other than as noted for more complicated issues. However, Mr. Trudgeon agreed that what "identity" meant was a good question.

As a follow-up, Commissioner Sparby asked for the rationale in how residents described their geographic neighborhoods, beyond north, south, east or west; but sought whether there was any more descriptive or historic way.

City Manager Trudgeon opined there was no perfect way, with the comprehensive plan referred to planning districts that consisted basically of from one county road to another, but providing no continuity. As part of the Parks Renewal Program, Mr. Trudgeon noted the reference to constellations, seen as more dynamic to include natural connections. Since Roseville had roads and county roads versus rivers to serve as barriers, Mr. Trudgeon noted within larger areas, there were more identifies, but no formal process. Mr. Trudgeon noted the initial

discussion about neighborhoods and neighborhood associations, as recommended by the CEC to the City Council in the recent past, as a more organic approach, but again not addressing any specific geographic areas. For the survey, Mr. Trudgeon reported the attempt was to provide equal distribution throughout the city for residents in northeast, southeast, southwest and northwest areas. Mr. Trudgeon noted the northwest section was mostly an industrial area, but also included some residential pockets, so therefore was included to make sure representation was provided from around the city.

Commissioner Sparby noted the different neighborhoods representing the City of Minneapolis and providing an identity; and suggested the CEC look into that and pull that information together as part of the comprehensive plan update discussion. Commissioner Sparby noted that could provide some historic way to identify various areas of the community, and thus foster community engagement based on the type of sub-neighborhoods.

Chair Becker noted one of the recommendations from the CEC's original set of policies and strategies as adopted in 2014 had discussed somehow providing that identification, by signage or other means. Chair Becker asked Commissioner Gardella to review that as part of her priority subcommittee.

Commissioner Gardella opined that how the NextDoor.com neighborhoods were defined might get closer to Commissioner Sparby's comments.

b. Initial Prep for Joint Meeting with City Council

Chair Becker noted some discussion to-date, including having some questions for the City Council, and samples of the products being worked on by the CEC.

City Manager Trudgeon advised that the joint meeting was scheduled for August 22, so one more CEC meeting would be available for refining the CEC's agenda for that meeting. Mr. Trudgeon also advised that the time allotted for the joint meeting was between 20 and 30 minutes depending on the remainder of agenda items that evening; and encouraged as many commissioners as possible to attend, not just the Chair as their representative.

Chair Becker suggested things to consider included: how much the CEC should present, who would attend beyond him as Chair, whether individual commissioners were willing to share the spotlight by summarizing their specific priority projects; and how best to present things to achieve the most and best feedback possible from the City Council.

Chair Becker suggested the CEC provide a brief update to the City Council, obtain their feedback on specific questions, and then conclude the discussion.

Commissioner Holub reported that unfortunately, she would be out of town that day and unavailable to attend.

Commissioner Tomlinson supported asking questions and seeking more direction on the priorities as listed.

Chair Becker asked each commissioner to come prepared at the August meeting with 2-3 bullet points on their status of individual products, and their related questions for the City Council, using the aforementioned City Manager memorandum as their template, making it serve a dual purpose.

c. Initial Discussion of Commissioner-submitted Ideas

Commissioner Holub addressed "Speak Up! Roseville" based on the comments heard at the Party in the Park, and citizen reaction to the "ideas" portion of the website and not being able to see responses. If residents didn't see responses, she questioned how it would be used or how relevant it would be.

Chair Becker stated he was aware of responses done in a timely manner by staff; and City Manager Trudgeon advised that the City's Communication Manager Garry Bowman monitored the site daily. Mr. Trudgeon advised there had not been a lot of traffic to-date, and it was proving not to be a very active site, but assured that the specific questions were forwarded to each applicable department for their follow-up up. Mr. Trudgeon noted some ideas were hard to respond to, and that may be a concern for residents if they didn't see the city immediately jumping in to utilize their input. Mr. Trudgeon noted more activity on the development portion of the website versus the idea module; but noted staff found the comments helpful.

Commissioner Tomlinson suggested a standing area on the City Council agenda for "Speak Up! Roseville" input allowing the community ideas an opportunity for public vetting, with the City Council reviewing them on a monthly basis. Commissioner Tomlinson spoke in support of several ways the ideas could be used going forward, especially if citizens knew their comments or ideas would be addressed, and to at least know the City Council was hearing them, whether or not they acted on the ideas or not.

In that same vein, Commissioner Sparby asked if there was a written procedure in place to deal with comments on that site, and responses based on a particular item with a certain number of business days.

 City Manger Trudgeon advised there was a policy on posting comments and who was responsible for the anticipated turnaround time; and offered to research that again since he hadn't taken time to review it since launching the site to see how successful things were based on the sporadic comments coming in.

Chair Becker suggested perhaps the reason for no responses showing on the copies provided by Commissioner Holub may be due to how it was being filtered or acknowledged once received; noting discussions may go into archival mode if the system is set up; and asked that those filters also be checked into.

Commissioner Gardella questioned if a "thank you for your comment" response was done immediately, and if that was the extent, it still wouldn't allow residents to know what was happening with their comment or idea; and asked if there was a resource or something additional that could be included on this site to go beyond a "thank you."

Commissioner Sattler suggested a discussion about procedure beyond responses or a "thank you," that ensured an answer was provided if available, or noting referral for further pursuit, along with a contact person. In the samples provided, Commissioner Sattler noted a lot of the comments were old, and didn't appear to have a response, which she found sad.

City Manager Trudgeon reiterated that there had been responses, and remembered several (e.g. sidewalks) that had been responded to by Mr. Bowman and a link provided for Ramsey County's contact person. Mr. Trudgeon noted he wasn't sure why the screen shot provided wasn't showing that interaction.

If that was the case, Commissioner Sattler asked if possible the site be revamped to provide more interaction.

Commissioner Sparby suggested the CEC make it a point to periodically review the website and provide input to staff on what they could do more or less of to make the site more interactive. Commissioner Sparby stated he liked the idea of auto-archiving certain things.

Chair Becker agreed with periodic review by the CEC; noting that the initial launch had seen the city promoting the "topics" and "discussion" modules, and after a critical mass was participating on those modules, then to concentrate on the "ideas" module. However, Chair Becker noted there was a need for doing a better job to draw people in for discussions, making the tool a better investment. Chair Becker thanked Mr. Trudgeon

for his informative comments on the site; and noting the community survey response about "Speak Up! Roseville," wondered about that number of users as well, opining he was somewhat skeptical. Chair Becker suggested more time to evaluate the website; and then determine how to improve it; but agreed a quarterly CEC discussion may be appropriate, and suggested bringing it to the attention of the City Council at the joint meeting for their feedback or possible follow-up discussion.

City Manager Trudgeon noted the CEC had taken that follow-up to the next step with Party in the Park polling, and suggested since the CEC had originally taken ownership in selecting this particular vendor, it continue as a CEC tool to work with and monitor, as well as promoting it. Mr. Trudgeon noted this was always better and more interesting for the community than having a staff person doing it, and encouraged all commissioners to participate on the site as well.

Commissioner Sattler also suggested some comments may need to be removed.

City Manager Trudgeon noted there was a fine line in editing the site, with the policy talking specifically about removing offensive material, while not attempting to censor things. Mr. Trudgeon agreed it may be a good idea to bring the policy back to the CEC for an update. While wanting to encourage a free form discussion on the website, Mr. Trudgeon noted that was subjective in nature and required caution.

Chair Becker noted he was aware of at least one comment that had been removed due to its offensive nature.

Commissioner Gardella opined that the suggestion to vote ideas or topics up or down was valid, but the way the idea had been framed was not helpful.

Commissioner Tomlinson asked if there was analytic data available from the website; and questioned if the number from the survey jived with those of the website and percentage of population.

Chair Becker suggested having Mr. Bowman provide a status update in the future at a CEC meeting on usage; and to help understand traffic on the site. Chair Becker recalled past discussions of involving each advisory commission on how they could participate on the site with ideas and prompting discussion topics. Chair Becker suggested it may be time to pursue that effort, noting he would personally like to see more traffic on the site. With a two-year contract in play for the city hosting the site, Chair Becker noted the first year of operation was coming up, indicating a

need for review to evaluate the site's limited activity to-date and reasons why.

At the request of Commissioner Sparby, City Manager Trudgeon noted the vendor contract and template allowed for little formatting or revision, and served as a very practical situation template used for numerous cities. Commissioner Sparby suggested bringing suggested changes to the table to improve the site to make it better utilized if the vendor was amenable to making those changes.

Commissioner Holub referenced Attachment 7.c to tonight's agenda packet, highlighting the Lake Street Art Project as a model to initiate discussion for potential consideration of a similar Roseville effort to enhance community pride and awareness. Commissioner Holub reviewed the specifics of the Lake Street project where a photographer had taken pictures of local businesses and storefronts to provide a snapshot of who called Lake Street "home." Commissioner Holub summarized some possible logistics for such a project, allowing residents to submit their own pictures to the city or using social media that would emphasize local, homegrown businesses or chain businesses as long as in the Roseville community.

Commissioner Sattler agreed it was an interesting idea, but questioned how to identify neighborhood, which she considered the first step, and then determine who makes up those specific neighborhoods. Commissioner Sattler opined it would be a fun idea, especially if a local photographer could be found, and noted the project would build community as well as inform residents and businesses of the broader community; and how/when the end product would be displayed.

Commissioner Holub noted that Communications Manager Garry Bowman had done an excellent job pulling great pictures together of past community events to use for the Party in the Park.

Commissioner Gardella agreed that the city may already have a treasure trove of pictures not yet used that would serve such a purpose. Commissioner Gardella noted the involvement for the Lake Street project of this well-known photographer and suggested the Lake Street Business Council could have actually commissioned him to do the project.

Chair Becker asked how the Lake Street project had been implemented, and whether or not grant funds had been available to finance the project. Chair Becker suggested the Roseville Visitors Association (RVA) or local Chamber of Commerce may be willing to pursue this type of effort, noting their existing network with businesses and possible petty cash available for the project.

640 641 While unsure of the specifics, Commissioner Holub thought there was 642 probably some grant funds used for printing and or the photographer for 643 the Lake Street project. 644 645 Commissioner Gardella noted it would continue the theme of "I AM 646 ROSEVILLE," used this year. 647 648 Chair Becker agreed, also noting there were lots of those stickers still 649 available; and suggested the project could be used as a rough draft 650 concept; but questioned the need for highlighting particular 651 neighborhoods, and instead just emphasizing the entire Roseville business 652 and residential community, even though he recognized some 653 neighborhoods had unique identities. 654 655 Commissioner Sparby noted this could serve as a way to tie those 656 communities together, and while recognizing they were each different, 657 they were also all the same. Commissioner Sparby noted something like 658 this with the artistic element could bridge the gap and make for an 659 interesting part of an event sponsored by the CEC that could move 660 forward on its own momentum. 661 662 Commissioner Gardella noted celebration photos could be grouped and 663 disbursed around the community to allow people to observe them and 664 make connections. 665 666 Commissioner Sattler suggested using photos from block parties or group 667 get-togethers as opportunities, using landmarks in those specific 668 neighborhoods as picture backgrounds to help identify their locale. 669 670 Commissioner Gardella also noted opportunities available from 671 playground builds. 672 673 Commissioner Gardella noted the Night to Unite advertised on 674 NextDoor.com, and questioned if that had potential for this type of effort. 675 676 City Manager Trudgeon advised that there were anywhere from 40-90 677 different neighborhoods having block parties on that night, with each 678 receiving a visit, to the extent possible, by city staff (e.g. Fire and Police 679 Departments). 680 681 Commissioner Tomlinson noted such an opportunity would be great to 682 kick-off an event when people were already congregating. 683

Chair Becker suggested photo submissions from those groups could be sent to the city to include in the City News newsletter, but asked who the staff contact person would be.

City Manager Trudgeon first asked that more information was needed to provide a better idea of the rationale and purpose behind the effort: whether to get pictures of Roseville residents utilizing Roseville businesses, or how it would be rolled out. Mr. Trudgeon noted that some people may object to having their pictures posted without prior permission; but if citizens send in their own pictures, that would serve as their consent. Mr. Trudgeon noted such an effort would involve a lot of work, based on past experience of city staff when soliciting donations from area businesses; and before pursuing this any further, both the city and the CEC needed more information as well as more vetting for such an endeavor. While the Night to Unit event may be a good opportunity for such an effort, Mr. Trudgeon noted the 2016 event was already coming up in early August and would not allow sufficient lead time to pursue a project this year.

Commissioner Gardella stated she saw this as a way for residents to connect, such as a Roseville photo contest, with the top twenty photos chosen and displayed around Roseville, with those pictures giving their permission to do so. Commissioner Gardella suggested the photo contest convey the theme, "I AM ROSEVILLE," and provide a sense of community identity, rather than engagement. Commissioner Gardella further stated this would be an interesting way to display that diversity; but agreed with City Manager Trudgeon that while the interest in pursuing this may be there, it definitely needed more vetting.

Commissioner Sattler stated her interest in a photo contest.

Commissioner Holub asked what the next steps would be.

Chair Becker suggested more fleshing out was needed, and perhaps working with another group such as the RVA to utilize their existing social marketing tools may be a good first step.

City Manager Trudgeon noted the RVA was seeking to get people outside Roseville to come into the city and wasn't sure they'd be a good connection.

Chair Becker noted the goal was to market "I AM ROSEVILLE," which he thought would fit with the RVA's mission.

City Manager Trudgeon stated he liked the "I AM ROSEVILLE" emphasis, and suggested a display at City Hall or other city facilities may be better, along with showing the diversity of different people, which he

730 thought would be supported. Mr. Trudgeon suggested this item be 731 included on next month's CEC agenda, and in the meantime, individual 732 commissioners give it more thought and try to flesh it out more (e.g. 733 logistic, display location(s) in public spaces, not just businesses). 734 735 Commissioner Gardella agreed other public spaces, such as libraries or 736 park shelters, would be good display locations. 737 738 Commissioner Sparby volunteered to assist Commissioner Holub develop 739 some bullet points to move through the process and provide more details 740 for the next meeting. 741 742 Commissioner Holub concurred, with Chair Becker duly noting that as an 743 August 2016 CEC agenda item. 744 745 8. **Chair, Committee and Staff Reports** 746 747 a. Chair's Report 748 None. 749 750 b. **Staff Report** 751 752 i. **Upcoming Items on Future Council Agendas** 753 City Manager Trudgeon noted his previous comments on the 754 community survey. Mr. Trudgeon further reported that the City 755 discussion of Neighborhood Council's Association 756 recommendations from the CEC was now scheduled for their 757 August 8, 2016 meeting, including their feedback on those 758 recommendations, and recommended next steps. Mr. Trudgeon 759 stated he anticipated there may be additional follow-up from the 760 City Council to the CEC on that point. 761 762 Should Chair Becker be absent, Commissioner Gardella volunteered to attend that City Council meeting; and City Manager 763 764 Trudgeon noted he would initiate the discussion on behalf of the 765 CEC. 766 767

ii. **Other Items**

768 769

770

771 772

773

774

775

9. **Commission Communications, Reports, and Announcements** None.

Commissioner-Initiated Items for Future Meetings 10.

Chair Becker noted those items identified for the August meeting included the status of "Speak Up! Roseville;" and an update from Communications Manager Bowman on the website; Commissioner Holub's Lake Street project model; and

finalization of the agenda for the joint meeting of the City Council and CEC in August.

Following the recent Falcon Heights shooting, Commissioner Holub suggested creation of a task force and other ways to address this issue in the community. Commissioner Holub stated she was unaware if Roseville had yet responded or if it had plans to do so; but opined some discussion of the tragedy and aftermath would be good.

Commissioner Gardella sought further clarification on what Commissioner Holub intended for that discussion.

Commissioner Holub clarified she intended the discussion about engaging the community and Police Department and whether the Roseville Police Department reflected what the community was looking for. While a difficult topic, Commissioner Holub noted the need to discuss it, and again questioned if the city planned to have a response or not.

Commissioner Gardella suggested it may be appropriate for those comments on "Speak Up! Roseville."

City Manager Trudgeon clarified that the Roseville Police Department was not involved in the shooting; and therefore questioned what the city would be responding to. Mr. Trudgeon noted that the City's involvement had been post-shooting in providing community policing assistance for neighboring communities as was a typical response among departments for any major event.

City Manager Trudgeon further noted that the City's Human Rights Commission had the topic included on their next monthly meeting, scheduled within the next week, and he would be attending that meeting. Mr. Trudgeon suggested the CEC wait to see what evolves over the next month or so and the community conversations. While recognizing that everyone's first reaction was to convent people to talk, and while that wasn't a bad idea, Mr. Trudgeon noted the need to focus on what those conversations should be about. Since the City of Roseville isn't involved, and the case is still under investigation, Mr. Trudgeon questioned actual expectations, excluding the specific event given the investigation currently underway.

City Manager Trudgeon recognized it was a challenging situation, and agreed there was always room for and the need for discussion on police officer and department practices and policies; and acceptance of or changes indicated to ensure the overall city and its workforce reflected the community. Mr. Trudgeon agreed those were always good areas for discussion, and noted they were talked about on an ongoing basis in this municipality. However, Mr. Trudgeon stated his suspicion that over the next few months, open community conversations would come forward and different ideas moving on.

City Manager Trudgeon stated his appreciation of different ideas bring brought up, but respectfully asked that time be given to sort out the situation and allow the City Council to assume that community leadership role. Mr. Trudgeon noted that the Human Rights Commission had fielded numerous engagement issues such as this already (e.g. racial and demographic) and this sad tragedy only underscored the need to continue those discussions. However, at this point, Mr. Trudgeon stated it was hard for him to identify that discussion as part of the CEC's role. Mr. Trudgeon opined it made sense to engage people, but how and when to do so had yet to be determined.

Chair Becker concurred with City Manager Trudgeon, noting the role of the CEC was not of an investigatory nature; and specific to engagement, he would support the CEC being engaged somehow. However, Chair Becker noted the Human Rights Commission intended to hold some sort of discussion, and suggested any direct engagement by the CEC may be in how those conversations should go forward to ensure all were heard. Chair Becker agreed the CEC could and should participate in that community engagement, but questioned if it should do so at this point nor could he identify who should lead that discussion at this point. Since it is such a hot button issue right now, Chair Becker suggested any direction should come from the City Council.

Commissioner Holub stated she was just concerned that the city provide some kind of response.

In reviewing the scope, duties and function of the CEC, Commissioner Tomlinson noted the various ways the Roseville Police Department probably already used to engage with the public. Going forward, Commissioner Tomlinson suggested having each city department make a presentation to the CEC, especially for new commissioners, to better understand existing communication efforts of those departments with the public.

Chair Becker agreed that was his thought too, especially the Roseville Police Department, noting the great job they already did with community engagement, forums and other methods. Chair Becker stated he would be interested in periodic updates from the Police Department at a minimum, perhaps by Chief Mathwig or the Department's Community Relations Coordinator Corey Yunke.

City Manager Trudgeon agreed that a citywide informational presentation or written summary of that information would be easy for staff to comply with. Mr. Trudgeon noted several ideas already being used (e.g. Friday's with a Firefighter, Coffee with a Cop, Discover Your Parks, Community Development Open Houses and Public Hearings for land use issues, etc.). At a minimum, Mr. Trudgeon advised that staff could have a listing of what was happening and get that information to the CEC to make them aware of the inventory of what was going on behind-the-scenes and with community engagement and communication

efforts to-date. Mr. Trudgeon noted those efforts could be build upon and changes as indicated; and stated he wasn't sure if the CEC had ever had a checkin on that during their existence.

Going forward, Commissioner Sparby asked for the opportunity to review the contract with the "Speak Up! Roseville" vendor to determine what latitude the city had and what the current written procedures for city follow-up were; as well as other avenues providing people with contact for the city.

Commissioner Gardella encouraged individual commissioners to visit the website, register and review the various modules.

City Manager Trudgeon concurred, stated he would be happy to provide the CEC with the contract, but questioned toward what goal, whether informative or to make changes, which he clarified would be within the parameters of the City Council.

Commissioner Sparby advised that his intent was basically for informational purposes and to make sure the CEC was aware of the relationship with the vendor, and suggested it may allow the CEC to provide more input to the City Council about different things that could be enhanced or added to the next contract or renewal. Commissioner Sparby opined the City Council may be looking to the CEC to provide those ideas.

11. Recap of Commission Actions This Meeting

At the request of Chair Becker, Commissioner Gardella reviewed action items form tonight's meeting:

- Individual commissioners are to fill in their priority project updates with three bullet points for the joint meeting with the City Council
- Commissioner Holub, with assistance from Commissioner Sparby, will work on a potential proposal for the CEC's role in a photo project modeled after that of Lake Street USA
- City Manager Trudgeon will follow-up on more details of survey information (demographic breakdowns)
- City Manager Trudgeon will provide a copy of the "Speak Up! Roseville" contract and policy for CEC review
- Individual commissioners are encouraged to view or review next week's Human Rights Commission for the initial discussion and response to the recent Falcon Heights shooting

City Manager Trudgeon clarified that the Human Rights Commission and/or participants would not be deciding anything, noting it may simply come up as a topic of discussion.

912 Chair Becker noted his commitment to alert individual commissioners one 913 week prior to the next agenda packet deadline of the need to submit their 914 priority planning project updates. 915 916 **12.** Adjournment 917 Commissioner Sparby moved, Commissioner Gardella seconded, adjournment of 918 the meeting at approximately 8:12 p.m. 919 920 Ayes: 6 Nays: 0 921 Motion carried. 922