

1 Minutes 2 **Roseville Community Engagement Commission (CEC)** 3 Thursday, August 11, 2016 - 6:30 p.m. 4 **Roll Call** 1. 5 Chair Scot Becker called the meeting to order at approximately 6:30 p.m. and 6 City Manager Trudgeon called the roll. 7 8 **Commissioners Present:** Chair Scot Becker; Vice Chair Theresa Gardella; 9 and Commissioners Chelsea Holub, Erik Tomlinson 10 and Peter Sparby; with Commissioner Michelle 11 Manke arriving shortly after the meeting started. 12 13 **Commissioners Absent:** Commissioner Amber Sattler 14 15 **Staff Present:** Staff Liaison/City Manager Patrick Trudgeon 16 17 2. **Approve Agenda** 18 Commissioner Tomlinson moved, Commissioner Sparby seconded, approval of 19 the agenda as presented. 20 21 Ayes: 5 22 Navs: 0 23 Motion carried. 24 25 Councilmember Manke arrived at this time. 26 27 **3. Public Comment on Items Not on Agenda** 28 29 Gary Grefenberg, 91 Mid Oaks Lane 30 Since he was unable to stay for the entire meeting, with permission of the Chair, 31 Mr. Grefenberg spoke to several agenda items scheduled later tonight. 32 33 Mr. Grefenberg provided written comments providing his personal suggestions 34 for the CEC, attached hereto and made a part hereof. In additional, Mr. 35 Grefenberg provided the following verbal comments. 36 37 Comprehensive Plan Review 38 Mr. Grefenberg agreed with previous comments of Commissioner Tomlinson that 39 public comment be solicited at the beginning or and end of the update process, but 40 prior to the Planning Commission making their recommendations to the City 41 Council. Mr. Grefenberg referenced the last update process when neighbors were not informed of zoning changes in their immediate neighborhood, leaving them 42 43 no opportunity for input into those changes. 44

Mr. Grefenberg encouraged the CEC to involve the three existing neighborhood associations in the update process as well, prior to its presentation to the City Council.

Mr. Grefenberg volunteered his assistance to work with CEC Commissioners Tomlinson and Sparby on the update, referencing his work on the CEC, suggesting he work as a non-voting member to assist their subcommittee, similar to that work performed by Ms. Lisa McCormick with the former task force. Mr. Grefenberg opined he could bring a lot to the table based on his past career experience working with comprehensive plans, and his past work on the CEC. Mr. Grefenberg stated his preference for addressing the CEC versus during public comment before the City Council. Mr. Grefenberg asked to meet with the subcommittee.

If still possible in the process. Mr. Grefenberg expressed his desire that the CEC be involved with the RFP process and review. Mr. Grefenberg opined it was important to have citizens on the RFP review process that were familiar and knowledgeable about how best to seek public comment in a meaningful and timely way, and then develop a process to target those most interested.

Neighborhood Associations

Mr. Grefenberg thanked City Manager Trudgeon for the remarkable and fair presentation he made to the City Council on the CEC Neighborhood Association recommendations at the August 8, 2016 Council meeting. Even though there was a mixed response from individual council members, Mr. Grefenberg opined the CEC should get a sense of when the City Council would take the issue up again.

As a side note, Mr. Grefenberg opined that, when an issue was on a City Council agenda, it was important that a representative of the CEC attend the meeting to respond, part of the broader important role to advise the City Council in their decision-making.

CEC General Operations

Based on his work with this and other advisory commissions, Mr. Grefenberg expressed pride in the CEC faithfully and consistently following their charge from the City Council. Referencing his former leadership position with the CEC, and recognizing the current leadership of Chair Becker, Mr. Grefenberg applauded the CEC, opining they were going in the right direction.

Minor housekeeping point

Mr. Grefenberg noted his past delegation of his role on the Gavel Club to his CEC predecessors, and asked that they monitor those meetings going forward. Unless there was an objection from the CEC, Mr. Grefenberg stated he would forward those meeting schedules to the CEC.

90 Chair Becker clarified that the CEC commissioners were on the Gavel Club's 91 mailing list, and representatives would attend as schedules allowed. 92 93 Mr. Grefenberg encouraged the CEC to send a representative, and volunteered to 94 fill in on behalf of the CEC if others were unable to attend. 95 96 4. Approval of July 14, 2016 Meeting Minutes 97 Comments and corrections to draft minutes had been submitted by various CEC 98 Commissioners prior to tonight's meeting and those revisions were incorporated 99 into the draft presented in tonight's agenda packet. 100 101 Commissioner Gardella moved, Commissioner Manke seconded, approval of July 102 14, 2016 meeting minutes as amended. 103 104 **Additional Corrections:** 105 Page 10, Line 426 (Sparby) Typographical Correction: Change "Member" to "Commissioner" 106 107 108 Aves: 6 109 Navs: 0 110 Motion carried. 111 **Old Business** 112 5. 113 114 Receive "Building a Welcoming Community in Lake McCarrons a. 115 Neighborhood" Report 116 Chair Becker welcomed Sherry Sanders, Chair of the Lake McCarrons 117 Neighborhood Association, and former CEC Commissioner. 118 119 CEC Commissioner Gardella and Madeleine Lohman representing The 120 Advocates for Human Rights, along with Ms. Sanders, expounded on the 121 four community conversations held with Karen refugees and the Karen 122 Organization of Minnesota (KOM), with collaboration form the City of 123 Roseville Human Rights Commission (HRC) and Community 124 Engagement Commission (CEC. 125 126 Their report included the preliminary planning over several years that went into this effort, and subsequent grant application by the Lake 127 128

Their report included the preliminary planning over several years that went into this effort, and subsequent grant application by the Lake McCarrons Neighborhood Association that allowed the conversations with immigrants in SE Roseville to integrate them and make them feel welcome. It was noted that there were good turnouts for the discussions; and Mr. Grefenberg was recognized for his initial efforts toward this goal in the fall of 2013 with The Advocates for Human Rights facilitating the first such presentation sponsored by the HRC.

129

130

131

132

133

Funding for the project was recognized from the Hebrew Immigrant Aid Society and their Linking Communities Project, initially founded in 1881 to assist Jews fleeing Russia and Eastern Europe; and continued their efforts to build capacity at local and national levels to generate and maintain broad-based commitment to refugee resettlement in communities.

It was noted that the KOM will attend the presentation of this report to the City Council, scheduled for August 22, 2016.

Further discussion included a review of the evolution of these conversations with the first held in the Karen language and translated into English; then additional events with the Karen culture and their background reviewed; at which point the Karen community asked that the American culture be reviewed for their benefit. It was noted that HRC Chair Wayne Groff participated in that presentation; and as noted by Ms. Sanders, all Americans at some point were descendants of immigrants. The results of this report will be used as a base line in communication and community-building efforts moving forward, developed and collated from Karen conversations, with some beyond the city and involving other agencies as well.

Commissioner Questions/Comments

Commissioner Holub thanked the group for a great job and great report, from which she'd learned a lot. Commissioner Holub asked if this report would be available to the broader Roseville community.

City Manager Trudgeon advised that, once the report is officially presented to the City Council, it will be available on the city's website.

Commissioner Holub asked for "next steps" once the City Council receives the report, or what direction was anticipated from them at that point.

Ms. Lohman clarified that, while she'd be available to assist the City of Roseville as a partner in this effort, this report was not locally directed. If the city, or its advisory commissions, had a particular item they wanted to push forward, again, Ms. Lohman offered support of those efforts by The Advocates for Human Rights.

Ms. Sanders noted one goal of the conversations was to help educate people; and she expressed her hope that the report enlightens the City Council about some of the issues of a portion of its residents for future consideration and resolution where possible.

As an advisory body to the City Council, Commissioner Gardella expressed her hope that the CEC could recommend this communication

model to the City Council for future listening events, as a way to build trust and areas of similarity. However, Commissioner Gardella agreed that the city was not obligated in any way, and the document was being presented to them for their information, and to inform how they wanted to move forward in their efforts.

City Manager Trudgeon noted some of the action items listed in the report were already underway through efforts of the City Council, including the ECHO video production; interaction with residents by city public safety employees (e.g. a sample brochure printed several years ago showing emergency procedures to reach 9-1-1 printed in Karen and English); and most recently an increase in the City Manager's recommended 2017 budget for additional Park & Recreation Department staffing for SE Roseville-specific programming on site. Mr. Trudgeon opined that the city had a good start and anticipated the City Council would continue to direct activities and events accordingly.

Commissioner Gardella noted the many good efforts underway, and noted the report provided one indicator of what forms of communication worked best, to know where to put improvements or address areas for strengthening, improvement or modification.

Ms. Sanders expressed her hope that City Manager Trudgeon had included money in the budget to assist the Police Department in continuing their soccer camps, which continued to be very well received by the Karen community and their children.

On a broader scale and prompted by Commissioner Manke, City Manager Trudgeon referenced the serious efforts underway in SE Roseville by the Cities of Roseville, Maplewood and St. Paul, along with other area agencies, to address quality of life issues in that area and taking place over a period of time. Mr. Trudgeon opined this would further add to the information provide in the report. Mr. Trudgeon advised that a community kick-off meeting was held several weeks ago, and had been well attended.

Ms. Sanders agreed, estimated about 100 people were in attendance from a variety of cities and agencies, with all three cities appearing to be interested in revitalization efforts in this area and across jurisdictional boundaries. Ms. Sanders noted it allowed residents to brainstorm ideas and solutions, and hoped it was only the first step of many, with the next community meeting scheduled in September.

At the request of Commissioner Tomlinson, Ms. Sanders reported that the KOM Co-Executive Director had been invited to represent the Karen community, as well as residents of their immigrant community, and that

the KOM was going to provide a copy of the meeting agenda to the Karen in their language.

Recognizing Mr. Grefenberg's reference to the Gavel Club, a group of North Ramsey County community organizations, Ms. Sanders added that there was a City Interagency Task Force on SE Roseville whose meetings she also attended for the purpose of finding ways to work together. Ms. Sanders reported that several subgroups of that Task Force were at work, with her specific subcommittee working on creating the Rice Street Gardens. Ms. Sanders reported that city staff was well-represented at those meetings as well, with the Police Department Community Relations Coordinator Corey Yunke also working behind-the-scenes in many of these areas.

As a side note, Ms. Sanders recommended an upcoming community engagement opportunity, that she was helping facilitate, and the Lake McCarrons Neighborhood Association actively involved in an effort to save the National Guard Armory in Roseville. Ms. Sanders noted how great this facility would be for community outreach and education efforts. Ms. Sanders welcomed anyone interested in joining them in this endeavor.

Public Comment

Gary Grefenberg

Mr. Grefenberg stated that he found one of the most productive times as a CEC Commissioner was touring the Karen apartment building and realizing that their culture was so different than that of his, and in helping the city realize the differences in those customs. Mr. Grefenberg noted his participation in community seminars sponsored by the Roseville Police Department over a three-year period; and commended the Police Department for their job in laying a foundation. Mr. Grefenberg stated his pleasure in seeing some of the recommendations in this report reflected those mentioned by the Police Department.

Mr. Grefenberg provided a handout providing an outline of his comments for tonight's CEC meeting and dated August 11, 2016, *attached hereto and made a part hereof.*

Mr. Grefenberg's comments included outlining why the CEC should be involved in any report follow-up and for the CEC to submit to the City Council certain points in the report in which the CEC could be helpful.

Mr. Grefenberg proceeded to review the report in detail, sharing areas he felt were important, areas of concern in the language of the report, and areas he felt needed clarified.

While Ms. Lohman responded to Mr. Grefenberg, both she and CEC Commissioner Gardella reminded Mr. Grefenberg that this report was a summation of issues expressed by the Karen community themselves. Given that, as well as that the authors of the report, and the community conversations were not CEC driven, Commissioner Gardella clarified that it was not the CEC's responsibility to revise the recommendations included in the report or the report itself.

Mr. Grefenberg reviewed his interpretation of the CEC's charge and role as laid out by the City Council to improve the city's public participation process, and remove barriers to address under-representative groups in the community. Mr. Grefenberg agreed this report was based on Karen community comments, and that it was not a neighborhood association charge either. However, Mr. Grefenberg, paying respect to the work done by the partners and not in disagreement, simply stated his intent to point out areas where the CEC played a relative role.

Ms. Lohman suggested that if the Karen community indicates a problem or need in their recommendations, the KOM should articulate that; thus why CEC Commissioner Gardella stated this document was not coming from The Advocates for Human Rights, the McCarrons Neighborhood Association, the CEC, or any other participant in that process.

Mr. Grefenberg addressed some of this concerns related to property acquisition. Speaking to the possible acquisition by the former National Guard Armory building by the city, Mr. Grefenberg noted that this area of Roseville already had two park buildings, and expressed his hope that this neighborhood and the city allow for public participation in any city decision-making. Mr. Grefenberg noted that his neighborhood didn't have one park facility or community center.

Chair Becker asked Mr. Grefenberg to focus on the issues at hand, noting the CEC didn't have any role in city property acquisitions.

Mr. Grefenberg sought to make the CEC and others at the table aware of progress by the city in acquiring playground property (1760 Marion Street); and suggested his feedback be included for the presentation of this report to the City Council by the CEC, as part of the previous work of the Neighborhood Association Task Force to draw attention to communication models by other groups.

Ms. Lohman stated her hope that the Karen community attends the presentation of the report to the City Council to know their concerns are being taken seriously.

317 Specific to the McCarrons Lake Neighborhood Association, Mr. 318 Grefenberg suggested they lower their \$20 annual membership fees, since 319 this represented a significant amount of money for the Karen community 320 if the Association was seeking to facilitate the Karen community in their 321 organization. 322 323 As noted in his handout, Mr. Grefenberg suggested that, once the City 324 Council has received this report, the CEC continue to encourage the Police 325 Department and Lake McCarrons Neighborhood Association to work on these efforts with the Karen community. Mr. Grefenberg also opined that 326 327 someone needed to raise the point of affordable housing to the City 328 Council, since the report didn't indicate who should facilitate that going 329 forward. Mr. Grefenberg opined it was important to provide and advise 330 the City Council on at least this specific issue. 331 332 Not to fault the report, but Mr. Grefenberg noted the report didn't specify 333 who should implement recommendations listed. As an author of similar 334 reports, Mr. Grefenberg opined that the role of the City's Human Rights (HRC) and Community Engagement Commissions traditionally made sure 335 336 these things happened. 337 338 Ms. Lohman clarified that not identifying responsibilities 339 implementation was not an omission, but intentional. 340 341 Mr. Grefenberg stated his pride in the HRC and CEC initiating these 342 efforts. Mr. Grefenberg noted the footnote on page 1 of the report, 343 regarding the Hebrew Association's apparent sponsorship, and asked if 344 they were aware the City of Roseville was not sponsoring this. 345 346 Commissioner Gardella clarified it was clear to all parties involved the 347 differentiation between sponsorship, partnerships and interested parties in 348 the process and with the grant application and subsequent award. 349 350 Mr. Grefenberg questioned how much if any grant money remained, 351 seeking a reasonable accounting of those funds. 352 353 Chair Becker and Vice Chair Gardella clarified for Mr. Grefenberg that 354 the CEC nor the City was participating in the grant funds, and therefore 355 such an accounting was outside the purview of the CEC. 356 357 As the fiscal agent for grant funds, Ms. Sanders advised that she was 358 available to respond to any questions or to have a conversation outside this 359 CEC meeting. 360 361 Commissioner Gardella expressed her personal appreciation and that of 362 the CEC for all the work that went into the grant application, community

conversations, and thanked the Lake McCarrons Neighborhood Association for investing their leadership to make it happen and serving as liaison with The Advocates for Human Rights. Commissioner Gardella noted the value of the CEC being able to assist in that partnership even though it wasn't through a more formal relationship. Commissioner Gardella noted the further value for the CEC in being able to add this community engagement model to its samples.

For the benefit of the CEC and listening audience, Chair Becker clarified that the CEC's charge as an advisory commission to the City Council was not to have responsibility for improving the quality of life for the Karen community or any other under-represented minority or group in Roseville, but to provide the tools to encourage community engagement for the entire community. From the sense that this process provided another model and tool for future reference, as noted by Vice Chair Gardella, Chair Becker noted the CEC would move forward based on the feedback it received from the City Council after they were presented with this report.

Commissioner Tomlinson asked Ms. Sanders for advice specific to engaging the Karen community as it applied to the comprehensive plan update process. Ms. Sanders offered to talk to Commissioner Tomlinson outside of the CEC meeting to address his questions.

Chair Becker thanked Ms. Sanders and Ms. Lohman for their report at tonight's CEC meeting; and noted the report was scheduled for presentation at the August 22, 2016 City Council meeting; and required no CEC action at this point.

b. **Priority Project Update: Assist in the formulation of the 2017 Comprehensive Plan Update Process** (Commissioners Tomlinson/Sparby)

Commissioner Tomlinson provided an update since the July CEC meeting; and referenced several attachments providing a variety of examples from other organizations and communities. Mr. Tomlinson reported that he, Commissioner Sparby and City Manager Trudgeon met to discuss a process, and concluded by creation of a checklist entitled, "CEC 2040 Comprehensive Plan RFP Checklist" for use by the City Council in identifying what type of engagement they were looking to. Commissioner Tomlinson deferred to Commissioner Sparby to review the matrix.

With approval by the CEC, Commissioner Sparby noted it was the intent for this document in place as the City Council began their review process of Requests for Proposals (RFP's) and to have that input available when proposals are presented to them. Commissioner Sparby noted the one-page document was intended to address community engagement strategies

by combining different ways in the matrix for the city to engage the public as part of the process as well as putting the checklist before the City Council to allow them to define the most important strategies as recommended by the CEC and what each proposer brought to the table. Commissioner Sparby opined that this would provide the CEC with some significant input in the community engagement and RFP process.

In reviewing the "CEC 2040 Comprehensive Plan RFP Checklist," Commissioner Sparby noted there were only five items to guide the process, and sought feedback from the CEC on the document. Commissioner Sparby noted the goal was to have CEC input by month end to utilize it for the review process.

Commissioner Tomlinson clarified that the RFP has gone out with an August 31, 2016 deadline for submittal followed by review by committee. Commissioner Tomlinson suggested the City Council may like a CEC commissioner on that review panel once the RFP becomes public and open for interview and addressing community engagement by hearing from proposers without the CEC identifying specific strategies.

Discussion ensued including clarifying the intent for the checklist to serve as an evaluation of proposer responses as part of their presentation(s); analysis of criteria by the City Council during the process itself and to identify specific target to include; how the comprehensive plan pieces fit together with those areas needed tweaking and those needing redone to inform the review of proposals and how deep engagement needs to be; and clarification of the city's scope for updating the comprehensive plan versus the role of the CEC in providing community engagement tools and processes based on consultant experience and their specific engagement methods, expertise and past successes.

City Manager Trudgeon noted that the comprehensive plan update will involve every chapter being reviewed, with the City Council leaning toward tweaking from the current base line. However, Mr. Trudgeon noted that presupposition didn't really inform the CEC's role if and when community engagement was indicated. Mr. Trudgeon opined that the CEC needed to avail itself to allow for that public input at some point during the whole process, whether it involved simply testing the current plan in various areas or diving deeper into those conversations, and which model or technique for community engagement would work best.

Further discussion included those involved in assessing the comprehensive plan and the level of that review with clarification that various consultants would work with staff depending on the department and area of their expertise to facilitate conversations with the community and synthesize what that input with various advisory commissions; and reiterating that the

existing plan was deemed by the City Council to be generally adequate and only needing some updating.

City Manager Trudgeon noted the process would include many opportunities for community engagement, and while the City Council would make the final decision, he anticipated the process to be more inclusive when indicated. Depending on the particular chapter involved, Mr. Trudgeon reviewed the various stakeholders that may be involved if and when changes are needed in the comprehensive plan, with those parts coming together for the whole assessment process and necessary changes since the last update in 2008. Mr. Trudgeon noted the plan process also looks at the MSP 2040 aspirational statements that was a unique document, but as yet not clear as to how it may be integrated into the plan if at all. While included as part of the RFP, Mr. Trudgeon noted there were decisions yet to follow as part of the review of proposals, and also informing the cost of a consultant.

At the request of Commissioner Holub, City Manager Trudgeon offered to send a copy of it to the CEC, including a timeline for the RFP process itself.

Commissioner Tomlinson referenced the substantial amount of resources and training information available online on the Metropolitan Council's website, and encouraged individual CEC commissioners to research those materials to become more familiar with comprehensive plans, including examples from other communities, some who've been awarded for their community engagement efforts. Commissioner Tomlinson opined that this would also serve to get commissioners thinking beyond the comprehensive plan in addressing stakeholders in community engagement. Commissioner Tomlinson also suggested a group CEC effort in identifying those different stakeholder groups and how best to engage them to obtain their feedback (e.g. Karen community and other underrepresented population groups in Roseville).

Chair Becker suggested that could be a discussion topic for the CEC moving forward.

For engaging any under-represented community, Commissioner Holub opined that a solid plan was needed and should be reflective of the city's demographics, further opining that their ideas and partnership should be a huge priority as part of the RFP review process.

City Manager Trudgeon noted that consultants involved in comprehensive plans all had significant community engagement expertise, but suggested a relevant consideration for the RFP review would be their experience engaging under-represented groups.

using that model tool for the CEC.

c. Priority Project Update: Recommend ways to expand city learning and engagement opportunities (Commissioners Manke/Holub)

Commissioner Holub provided an update on this priority, referencing the August 2016 update and questions for the joint meeting with the City Council (page 2 of City Manager's Office memorandum dated August 5, 2016) and status update for each priority project in which the CEC was involved. Commissioner Holub noted the welcome packet review was still in process as different samples and options were considered by the team, including what exactly should be included in the packet.

Commissioner Manke noted the interest in engaging other advisory commissions with these efforts as well.

d. Priority Project Update: Form strategies for outreach to underrepresented groups (Commissioners Gardella/Sattler)

519 compre 520 "under-521 numero 522 and ava

Commissioner Gardella noted this priority synced well with the comprehensive plan community engagement effort and need to define "under-represented groups." Commissioner Gardella had provided numerous ideas, including a draft recommendation for CEC consideration and available resources for reference.

In particular, Commissioner Gardella noted her preference for the "Community Engagement Assessment Tool" created by her organization, especially the grid they used, and the engagement tool used by the Lake McCarrons Neighborhood Association, noting that while it didn't have a lot of resources at hand, their efforts had a big payoff, and recommended

Discussion included clearly identifying under-represented groups (e.g. renters); work of the Zoning Notification Task Force in addressing notice for landlords and their tenants; how to define "aging" population in its proper context and clearly defining criteria to be used or how and when a baseline is available to address if and when a certain age group may be deemed "under-represented;" and how to be inclusive without delineating or excluding other aspects of under-representation.

Further discussion included criteria for defining those under-represented groups (e.g. financial, age, access to community resources) and what filter should be used whether for youth, renters, aging seniors, or how to reach those needing or seeking representation; and using different criteria in multiple contexts depending on the population or demographics.

Commissioner Gardella offered to put together a checklist for further vetting by the CEC.

Commissioner Manke suggested seeking input from other advisory commissions and city staff specifically for their input on what they considered as under-represented people in Roseville from their perspective (e.g. infrastructure, transportation, finance, etc.). Commissioner Manke asked City Manager Trudgeon to ask his staff and report back. Commissioner Manke also referenced the recent "Night to Unite" and asked if renters were included in those events or what was done to coordinate those groups or communicate with particular demographics in the community.

Commissioner Sparby suggested further work on the definition portion of the draft document, and volunteered to assist Commissioners Gardella and Sattler.

560 561 562

563

564

Priority Project Update: Advocate for select items from 2014 CEC e. recommended policies and strategies (Chair Becker)

Chair Becker advised he would address this later in tonight's agenda, but in the meantime, reported he continued to monitor this priority.

565 566 567

f. Update on "I Am Roseville" Photo Project (Commissioners Sparby/ Holub)

568 569 570

571

572

573 574 Since bringing this up at the last CEC meeting, Commissioner Holub reported on the approach and concept and referenced Attachment 5.f in tonight's agenda packet. Commissioner Holub stated this was a draft project plan, revised 8/3/16 and entitled "I Am Roseville' Community Photography Project" intended for vetting by the CEC and potential future recommendation to the City Council for their consideration.

575 576 577

Commissioners Holub and Sparby presented the draft, seeking CEC input for this proposed partner project that wouldn't obligate it to be an entirely city-led project.

579 580 581

582

583

584

585 586

587

588

578

Commissioner Sparby stated he preferred the idea of partnering the project with different events, since he didn't realistically expect people to come out for a specific photo opportunity or challenge. If the proposal has collaboration from a community engagement standpoint. Commissioner Sparby opined that he thought it would be well-received by the City Council. Commissioner Sparby spoke in support of a one-page proposal; and suggested looking out to initiate the project next year as a more realistic approach, and to allow the CEC sufficient time to present it as a recommendation for the City Council for 2017.

589 590 591

CEC Feedback

Discussion included the purpose, or "end goal" for the proposed project; exhibit of photos submitted by residents and then disbursed to city buildings and businesses to display and emphasize the "I Am Roseville" message showing images of what the unique Roseville community looked like in part and in whole; how this could help define the city and its demographics, goals, and aspirations as part of the comprehensive plan update as well, and perhaps using this photo exhibit tool to get people in the door for that larger discussion (e.g. Comprehensive Plan Road Show); and how "I Am Roseville" ties into next year's Rosefest and Party in the Park theme.

As a general comment, in his role to execute CEC plans, Chair Becker expressed curiosity in hearing City Council feedback on this, even though it was in line with the CEC's structure to work on projects. If there is immediate interest by the City Council, Chair Becker noted it could become an additional item on the CEC 2016 work plan, but otherwise suggested it be moved to the 2017 work plan.

At the request of Commissioner Gardella, City Manager Trudgeon suggested under the CEC's duties and functions, they were tasked with reviewing and recommending opportunities for collaboration, and suggested that may be where this proposal best fit.

Further discussion included dovetailing this as a partnership with the Roseville Historical Society including using some of the city's older buildings and landmarks to encourage carrying things on and expanding the community's history.

City Manager Trudgeon stated his only concern was with staff capacity available, noting that while staff had a lot of information available and connections with businesses, some tension could be felt whether the CEC or staff was tasked with promoting and coordinating this project. While unable at this point to determine how things might work out, Mr. Trudgeon suggested its success may depend on a strong effort by non-city employees driving this, with staff available to provide requested information and limited assistance; but a larger circle of people to knock on doors (e.g. businesses to display photos).

g. Final Preparation for Joint Meeting with City Council

Chair Becker provided a sample of last year's one-page report as a sample (Attachment 5.g) and polled the CEC on who would be available to attend this year's joint meeting. Of those present, Commissioners Gardella, Sparby, and possibly Commissioner Manke offered to attend.

Chair Becker reviewed priority projects as updated tonight, and assigned respective and available commissioners the task of a brief update for the City Council and any relevant questions they had of them at that time.

City Manager Trudgeon noted a short presentation by The Advocates for Human Rights and their submission of their report would be on the agenda immediately prior to the CEC; and would be immediately followed by an update from Volunteer Coordinator Kelly O'Brien on volunteer activities.

Chair Becker made assignments according to which commissioners were available to attend and their specific priority projects.

Logistics and materials for submission, including questions for the City Council, were discussed, with City Manager Trudgeon asking that any attachments be provided for attachment to the memorandum at the earliest convenience of commissioners. However, Mr. Trudgeon asked that those written items be kept to a minimum, noting the typical City Council agenda and materials they were required to review were already significant. With updating as appropriate, Mr. Trudgeon suggesting using the same format as last year's joint meeting agenda, and as typically used by most advisory commissions when meeting jointly with the City Council.

Chair Becker concurred, and scheduled the deadline for submittals to City Manager Trudgeon, and asked that any questions be specific, including seeking City Council feedback on their interpretation of "underrepresented groups" in the community.

Chair Becker advised that he and City Manager Trudgeon would draft the cover memo once commissioners had submitted their attachments.

6. New Business

a. Review "Speak Up! Roseville" Contract/Procedures

As requested by Commissioner Sparby at last month's CEC meeting, City Manager Trudgeon provided the "Speak Up Roseville Policies and Procedures" dated October of 2015 (Attachment 6.a) and summarized the document. Mr. Trudgeon noted the City Council would receive a one-year report and update from staff in October of this year as a check in on the website, clocked with approximately 123 users to-date. For the benefit of newer CEC commissioners, Mr. Trudgeon reported that discussion about the site spanned approximately two years before the site went active. If commissioners have not yet logged onto the site, Mr. Trudgeon encouraged them to do so.

Commissioner Sparby noted one item in Section 6 was the desire for advisory commissions to assist with interactions on the site and direct hook to get commissions involved in the website. As part of their role in encouraging community engagement, Commissioner Sparby proposed rotating CEC commissioners to monitor the site for a few weeks and in an effort to engage citizens and other advisory commissioners. By monitoring any synopsis of pressing items, Commissioner Sparby opined that it would allow the CEC to more effectively relay those areas of interest to the City Council at joint meetings.

Commissioner Manke agreed that was a good idea, and suggested using individual CEC commissioner priority project assignments as a lead in to obtain feedback from the public and to determine areas of community interest.

Commissioner Tomlinson noted the need to be clear whether individual commissioners are representing the CEC, the City or as individual residents before making statements on the website.

City Manger Trudgeon noted previous feedback sought specific to the comprehensive plan, but suggested new items would be appropriate to facilitate community discussion. Mr. Trudgeon clarified that it was not the intent for this website that discussions be posted by staff, but agreed commission ideas for questions were appropriate, as anyone could post an idea. If the CEC was seeking a broader discussion and deeper questions as part of that feedback, Mr. Trudgeon suggested they formulate questions by working on specific language and post them on the website, clearly identifying if and when they are being posted from an advisory commission, whether or not they ever come forward for action, and perhaps just for public awareness.

Discussion ensued regarding CEC involvement and subsequent reports on activities online and working with staff to facilitate sharing those ideas as applicable; collecting ideas posted whether specific that city staff can respond to or more broad in nature that may take time to incubate but at least heard; and those ideas of comments sparking larger City Council policy versus a response from city staff from their perspective and not in the role of policy makers; and how the website can evolve with more experience by the CEC and citizens.

Chair Becker agreed a valid point was how to process special ideas or items to make community connections. Chair Becker stated his appreciation for Commissioner Sparby's suggestion to put sunlight on happenings over the last month. However, Chair Becker stated his desire to give more thought as to how to add more discussion topics, noting logistical issues if there was a long waiting time for the website or if no

plan was in place to follow-up on questions or ideas, as well as addressing engagement patterns to flow better. Chair Becker noted the variables, whether outreach questions, learning activities, and how to structure questions with applicable city departments for partnering capabilities.

Commissioner Tomlinson suggested having this as a standing item on CEC agendas going forward; with Chair Becker agreeing and adding it as Item 7.c under subcommittee reports.

Chair Becker thanked Commissioner Sparby for his good ideas, noting this website was one of the first things the CEC had become involved in when first formed.

Commissioner Holub asked if they went online as individuals, could they answer questions as private citizens, or were they always operating under their role as advisory commissioners.

City Manager Trudgeon suggested not putting any tag about their commission role, and simply logging on as a Roseville resident.

7. Chair, Committee and Staff Reports

a. Chair's Report

In light of recent events with police and citizen concerns, Chair Becker advised that a forum including members of various advisory commissions, city council members (Mayor Roe and Councilmember Etten) and city staff had been formed to address some of those issues. Chair Becker reported that he and Commissioner Holub had been invited to serve on this task force.

City Manager Trudgeon reported that the first meeting involved simply gathering to talk about what response the city should be taking with the conclusion being that the response should be about educating versus rehashing past incidents. When first meeting, and discussing how to structure and format future meetings, Mr. Trudgeon noted it was immediately determined there was a need to expand the group of people involved, with the initial group evolving into an anticipated 17 people for next week's meeting. From that second meeting, Mr. Trudgeon advised that it was hoped to develop some understanding of how such a community event would be structured and the intended outcomes and purpose, with no predefined conversation anticipated, but simply serving as a panel or listening session. Mr. Trudgeon advised that the consensus of the group was that the city should take a step back to decide best approach for this daunting topic, and ultimately serve to make it more meaningful for all and resulting in actionable outcomes.

At the request of Commissioner Gardella, City Manager Trudgeon reported on his role in liaison staffing the most recent HRC meeting, in addition to this CEC meeting, both suggesting a unified approach, and serving as an organic meeting of interested parties, but not an official task force. Mr. Trudgeon stated he anticipated further discussion by this expanded group at their next meeting, noting that expansion was part of the rationale to receive input from additional stakeholders.

At the request of Commissioner Manke, City Manager Trudgeon welcomed additional participants to the group. However, Mr. Trudgeon cautioned commissioners that a quorum of the CEC fell under open meeting laws.

Commissioner Holub agreed that the door was always open for others wanting to be involved, and thanked City Manager Trudgeon for convening everyone and helping identify what would work best.

At the request of Commissioner Tomlinson, City Manager Trudgeon reported that the group is specific to Roseville and its residents at this point, and intended for community outreach. However, if resources came to bear to foster more communication, Mr. Trudgeon advised a wider representation could help facilitate that, but at this time the planning was mostly involving Roseville residents.

Based on her professional experience, Commissioner Gardella offered her services on racial training if needed.

b. Staff Report

i. Upcoming Items on Future Council Agendas

City Manager Trudgeon reported on his follow-up presentation of the CEC's Neighborhood Association recommendations at last Monday's City Council meeting.

Mr. Trudgeon reported on some specific feedback from the City Council, including their preference for "registered" versus the term "affiliated;" their initial lack of interest in requiring bylaws and more specificity before he elaborated on the CEC's intent that any neighborhood association be inclusive and transparent with regular meetings. Specific to material support from the city, Mr. Trudgeon advised that the City Council was concerned with any additional impact on staff time; and had mixed opinions on resources for mailing provided by the city. To that point, Mr. Trudgeon reported it prompted a healthy discussion among council members; with the conclusion being that they liked the idea of a tool kit similar to that developed and made available for neighborhoods seeking to

organize trash collection voluntarily and making that information available on the city's website. With the City Council reiterating their concern in further taxing staff time, Mr. Trudgeon advised that he suggested staging the process.

Specific to defining boundaries, City Manager Trudgeon reported that the City Council felt uncomfortable doing to, and left it that associations self-organize and make that determination themselves; and if they overlapped boundaries, that was okay.

As the discussion ensured, City Manager Trudgeon reported that there was no direction given or action taken by the City Council, with the general consensus being that it wasn't the city's role to create neighborhood associations. Mr. Trudgeon advised that the City Council stated their intent to continue this discussion at a later date and at that point provide actionable direction to staff. Mr. Trudgeon noted that the City Council had discussed the idea further at least, and while they liked some recommendations and didn't like others, they set no specific date at this point in pursuing the idea, nor did they express any urgency to sort it out further in the foreseeable future.

For more details and discussion, City Manager Trudgeon encouraged commissioners to watch the City Council meeting on the website.

Commissioner Gardella noted her disappointment based on the direction given by the City Council to the CEC to do the form and formation of the initial task force. For the benefit of newer commissioners, Commissioner Gardella summarized the history of this issue and different philosophical perspectives that made the initial neighborhood association task force very contentious even though a lot of work went into the issue and report from both sides.

In summary, Commissioner Gardella, with concurrence from City Manager Trudgeon concluded that the City Council liked the tool kit idea, but that no additional action or "next step' was articulated. Even though she hadn't served on the task force, Commissioner Gardella expressed disappointment on their behalf that nothing came out of their efforts and this report, as well as disappointment with the discussion among City Councilmembers. Commissioner Gardella expressed hope that this was not the end of the discussion and that pieces of it would be taken up eventually and it simply didn't end here.

If the City Council doesn't feel comfortable setting boundaries for neighborhood associations, Commissioner Tomlinson stated a rhetorical question for them and the CEC is whether they would feel comfortable defining a neighborhood if and when they decided to become organized or an association. Commissioner Tomlinson referenced the City of Minneapolis and its neighborhoods with defined boundaries.

As with the City of St. Louis Park example and their defining boundaries geographically, City Manager Trudgeon reported that throughout the process and the City Council discussion, there was strong interest in not following that model in Roseville. Mr. Trudgeon reiterated the preference to let people decide those boundaries, whether large or small and whether involving one street or several blocks.

Commissioner Holub questioned if there was a way for the CEC to advocate for the City Council to bring the issue back up.

City Manager Trudgeon suggested it could be a question for the joint meeting with the City Council on August 22 if there was a consensus of the CEC to do so.

Chair Becker opined that any council action on this topic would take place after the November municipal elections. In his viewing of the City Council meeting, Chair Becker stated his disagreement with several assumptions made during public comment on this issue as well as by individual Councilmembers.

Chair Becker noted one larger charge leveled at the meeting was that neighborhood associations were not asked for by the community and just dropped on the City Council. However, Chair Becker clarified that these CEC recommendations came out of the City's Civic Engagement Task Force, and when the CEC was first formed, it took those recommendations and reviewed them with the City Council and received their blessing in April of 2014 to proceed during a joint meeting updating the progress of the CEC to that point.

At that time, Chair Becker clarified that the CEC had received a renewed charge from the City Council on their 2015 priority project to assist and encourage the formation of Roseville neighborhood associations. At that point, and after involving members of the public in the task force, Chair Becker noted the CEC's invitation to all three existing neighborhood associations in the community, as opposed to the public comment that the CEC

didn't want those existing associations involved, which was blatantly false. In conclusion, Chair Becker opined that the CEC and their efforts had gotten thrown under the bus by the council, and while he hadn't expected the City Council to adopt each and every one of CEC's recommendations, he had expected some support.

Chair Becker admitted he was disappointed with this outcome, stated that he felt the lack of council action was a collective failure of leadership, and said that his personal speculation was that the results were in large part due to the political timing.

Commissioner Manke concurred with Chair Becker's synopsis and history of this issue, and shared his disappointment.

ii. Other Items

8. Commission Communications, Reports, and Announcements

Commissioner Tomlinson announced upcoming community engagement training available in Edina, and reviewed the registration process. Commissioner Tomlinson stated his intent to attend, and offered to report back to the CEC.

Chair Becker read a prepared statement submitting his resignation from the CEC effective March 31, 2017, stating his intent to fulfill his commitment as Chair of the CEC and in consideration of the number of newer commissioners on the CEC and to allow his colleagues to gain more experience in their commissioner roles. After four years of service, Chair Becker stated he no longer felt serving on the CEC was a productive use of his time, but wished success to individual commissioners and the CEC as a whole going forward.

9. Commissioner-Initiated Items for Future Meetings

Chair Becker noted those items added from tonight's meeting beyond standing agenda items included subcommittee reports on the Speak Up Roseville website, with Commissioner Sparby taking the first watch and assignment of the next commissioner for the website at the August meeting; priority project updates; and a debriefing of the joint meeting of the CEC and City Council.

10. Recap of Commission Actions This Meeting

Vice Chair Gardella summarized items to include City Manager Trudgeon sending an electronic copy of the Comprehensive Plan Consultant RFP to the CEC; Commissioners Gardella and Sparby would work on defining "underrepresented groups" before the joint meeting with the City Council to seek their input; addition of website monitoring as a standing agenda item; reporting of the community engagement seminar from Commissioner Tomlinson in August, and a reminder of the August 22 joint meeting with the City Council.

956 957 958	11.	Adjournment Commissioner Tomlinson moved, Commissioner Sparby seconded, adjournment of the meeting at approximately 8:48 p.m.
959		
960		Ayes: 6
961		Nays: 0
962		Motion carried.