1 2 3 4	D	Meeting Minutes DRAFT – October 9, 2014 - DRAFT
5 6 7	Commissioners:	Gary Grefenberg, Desiree Mueller, Kathy Ramundt, Scot Becker, Jonathan Miller, and Michelle Manke.
8 9	Commissioners Absent:	Theresa Gardella
10 11	Staff Present:	Garry Bowman
12 13 14	Others Present:	None.
15 16 17	Call to Order	
17 18 19 20	A quorum being present, the at 6:30 p.m. by Chair Gary	e Community Engagement Commission meeting was called to order Grefenberg.
21 22 23	1. INTRODUCTION/ROL	L CALL
24 25	Chair Grefenberg requested	Staff Liaison Garry Bowman to call the roll.
26	Commissioner Theresa Gare	della was absent.
27 28 29	2. APPROVE AGENDA	
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	the new City website since the few hours ago. He therefore Staff Report on Current Staff Proposed New Website, and	Commission would not be going into great detail at this meeting on the web test site had just been made available to the Commission a e suggested the agenda be amended to incorporate removing Item 6.c tus of Main Website Redesign, remove Item 6.c.a Assessment of I Item 6.c.b Commission Determination of Advisory Opinion on e also suggested changing Item 6.d from Other to Operations
37 38 39		made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Michelle Manke, to ed above. The motion carried unanimously.
40 41 42	3. APPROVAL OF SEPTI	EMBER 11, 2014 MINUTES
42 43 44 45	and since they were 29-page	Commissioners had been given the revised minutes on October 7 es everyone may not have had the opportunity to review them. He build like to table this item or proceed with approval of the minutes.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 2 of 25

Commissioner Becker made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Manke to approve the 46 September 9, 2014 minutes as amended. The motion unanimously. 47 48 49 50 4. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON AGENDA 51 52 There was no public input. 53 54 5. OLD BUSINESS: WORK GROUP REPORTS 55 56 57 Chair Grefenberg explained the procedure would be the same as the previous meeting: each Work Group would present each Policy, Strategic Recommendation, and Revision they would 58 recommend along with suggested timelines. He clarified that any issues, concerns, or questions 59 that took more than a few minutes to resolve would be set aside for later discussion. 60 61 Grefenberg advised the Commission that Ramundt would present Work Group D 62 Neighborhoods, he would present Work Group G Operations Committee: Completed or Nearly 63 Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff, and Miller, Manke, and Becker 64 would present Work Group C Community Communications. He stated the agenda also included 65 suggested revisions to some of the items set-aside at the last meeting which he and 66 67 Commissioner Ramundt had agreed to. 68 a. Work Group G: Operations Committee (Completed or Nearly 69 **Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff**) 70 71 Chair Grefenberg stated this report comes from the Operations Committee and whose members 72 73 are Chair Grefenberg, Vice Chair Becker, and Commissioner Gardella. The Work Group is recommending keeping the following policies: 74 75 Policy 1.0: Integrate Citizen Engagement into City Hall Culture 76 77 Policy 1.1: The City should work to enrich and strengthen civic engagement at City Hall, 78 79 and encourage employees and elected officials to appreciate civic engagement as an 80 asset. 81 The Work Group would add the rationale that demonstrating a commitment to civic engagement 82 dispels public cynicism and connects residents more closely to their government, while also 83 providing more resources for authentic grass roots neighborhood planning and community 84 building. 85 86 The Work Group also recommended the retention of the reference to the Edina Public 87 Participation in the Budgeting Process, including a hyper-link if possible. Chair Grefenberg 88 reminded the Commission that at the September 11 meeting it had approved the following 89 strategic recommendation and thus no further action would be necessary: 90 91

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 3 of 25

92	Strategic Recommendation 1.1.a: Continue its practice of forming resident task forces to
93	assess significant issues and make recommendations to the City Council or City
94	Manager.
95	
96	Work Group G recommended the following for strategic recommendation 1.1.a.i:
97	
98	Strategic Recommendation 1.1.a.i: Encourage that the City budget process be more
99 100	transparent and understandable to residents, and utilize other resources such as Roseville
100	U short course on budgeting, neighborhood workshops, and/or webinars to engage residents in budgeting before the budget is finalized.
101 102	residents in budgeting before the budget is finalized.
	The Work Group also recommends that Staff involve Roseville residents experienced in the City
103 104	budget process, including the Finance Commission, in the planning and execution of educational
105	efforts and include a footnote to see the Edina Civic Engagement webpage Public Participation
106	in the Budgeting Process. The suggested timeline for this recommendation would be to request
107	Council consideration of the short U course when the City makes the decision to resume
108	Roseville U with other actions as mentioned to be considered early in the budget process of 2015
109	and 2016.
110	
111	The Work Group had considerable discussion when considering what was missing in these
112	policies and strategic recommendations. One of the CEC functions was to involve volunteerism
113	and as such the Work Group would recommend the following new policy:
114	
115	Policy 10: The City should promote and encourage effective and meaningful
116	volunteerism as part of a vibrant civic culture in Roseville.
117	
118	Work Group G recommends removing the following strategic recommendation and the rationale
119	is this statement is more or a policy that an strategic recommendation and if the Commission
120	agrees to establish the new policy (Policy 10) as recommended the strategic recommendation
121	4.1.a could be removed and the following sections renumbered accordingly.
122	
123	Strategic Recommendation 4.1.a: Create and promote more volunteer opportunities for
124	citizens to actively contribute to the Roseville community.
125 126	Strategic Recommendation 4.1.b: Create a new City executive position to support volunteerism
120	and effective public engagement across all departments, had been move to further discussion by
127	the Commission at the September 11 meeting. Chair Grefenberg and Commissioner Ramundt
120	had met in regards to this item and had come to a resolution that would be discussed under the
129	Set-Asides item further down the Agenda.
130	Set Estades Rent futurer do un die Algendu.
131	Recommendation 8.3.a Compile, maintain, and make readily available a list of meeting places
132	for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings, had been moved to Work
134	Group D: Neighborhoods.

135

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 4 of 25

As part of adopting Policy 10.0 the Work Group would also recommend adopting the following 136 strategic recommendation with a timeline that would allow the new Volunteer Coordinator 137 adequate time to establish her program first before the Commission make any other strategic 138 recommendations. 139 140 Strategic Recommendation 10.1 Utilize the life experience and skills of or Senior 141 Community to volunteer in areas where their contributions are needed, applicable, and useful. 142 143 Work Group G recommended combining Policy 3.0 and Policy 3.1 into the following policy and 144 to keep strategic recommendation 3.1.a: 145 146 Policy 3.0: Engage Roseville renters and non-single family homeowners, such as 147 condominiums and coops, as it does single-family homeowners. 148 149 Strategic Recommendation 3.1.a: Include renters/leasers (both residential and business) 150 and residents or co-ops and assisted living facilities in any communications initiatives 151 (such as the recent adoption of Nextdoor, a neighborhood networking tool) to facilitate 152 their engagement. 153 154 Work Group G would also recommend adding the following rationale to Strategic 155 Recommendation 3.1.a: according to the 2010 census, almost 1/3 of Roseville residents are 156 renters and pay for City services through their rent, yet appear underrepresented in civic 157 engagement efforts. Other communities, such as Hopkins, have programs targeted specifically to 158 engage renters in City Government. 159 160 Community Communications Work Group requested strategic recommendation 3.1.b be 161 reviewed by Work Group G. The recommendation at this time would be to keep, revise, transfer, 162 and make strategic recommendation 3.1.b into Policy 9.2 as follows: 163 164 Policy 9.2: The City should engage renters, businesses both leased and owned, and non-165 single-family homeowner, as it does homeowners, in its notification procedures. 166 167 Work Group G recommended keeping Policy 7.1 and adopting the revised Strategic 168 Recommendation 7.1.b as follows: 169 170 171 Policy 7.1: The City should go beyond the legal requirements for public notification and provide information on issues critical to Roseville's development 172 173 174 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.b: Aggressively communicate these open house opportunities, meetings in local media, as well as though existing communications 175 systems and neighborhood networks. 176 177 Item 7 additional 1 Other: Establish communication links with condos and senior residences, had 178 been transferred from Work Group A on September 11. Work Group G recommended that this 179 strategic recommendation was adequately covered in Strategic Recommendation 9.1.c: Work 180

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 5 of 25

with governing associations of condominiums and townhomes to notify residents, and advise 181 neighborhood groups and associations of pending development issues as soon as legally 182 183 allowable and solicit their input. 184 185 Work Group G proposed to keep Policy 9.0 and revise Policy 9.1 to clarify and include businesses that lease their premises. These Policies are as follows: 186 187 Policy 9.0: Improve the notification process (note: the notification process referred to 188 herein is the responsibility of the Planning Department and Commission) 189 190 Policy 9.1: The City should expand the notification area and methods for informing 191 residents and businesses, including leased businesses, of developments that have greater 192 impact and/or involve issues of probable concern to the broader community. 193 194 The Work Group recommended changing Strategic Recommendation for implementation 9.1.a to 195 the following: 196 197 Strategic Recommendation 9.1.a: The Council should form a joint task force of 198 Community Engagement and Planning Commissioners, plus at-large members, to assess 199 these notification recommendations and prepare a joint plan for both Commissions and 200 for Council approval. Staff assistance shall be provided by the Planning Department. 201 202 203 Chair Grefenberg stated Work Group G had drafted the following for Strategic Recommendation 204 9.1.b: 205 Strategic Recommendation 9.1.b: Co-host with the proper governing board or 206 neighborhood association open houses in the community to display renderings, drawing 207 and maps of the proposal and set aside time to respond to residents' questions and 208 concerns. Reports on these open houses shall include the names and addresses of all who 209 participated, and should be prepared by a neutral third party, such as Planning 210 Department Staff. 211 212 The rationale for this was current Planning Department practice allows the developer to draft 213 Open House reports, which are distributed to the Planning Commission and eventually to the 214 City Council. Chair Grefenberg's experience has been that often these reports reflect the bias of 215 216 the developer. If only to avoid the appearance of a conflict of interest, these reports should be developed by a neutral third party, Chair Grefenberg advised the Commission. 217 218 219 Chair Grefenberg stated he had attended the last Planning Commission meeting and discussed with them these proposals and all five (5) members present were in support of the amending the 220 notification assessment and volunteered to be involved with the Task Force, so there has been 221 some progress in this already. The Planning Commission Staff are proposing the following: 222 223 224 A written summary of the open house shall be submitted as a necessary component of an application for approval of a proposal requiring a developer open house meeting. 225

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 6 of 25

226 Chair Grefenberg stated amendments or revisions to the Planning Commission Staff proposal 227 read: 228 229 230 A written summary of the open house shall be submitted as a necessary component of an application for approval of a proposal requiring a developer open house meeting. The 231 summary shall include a list of potential issues/concerns and any possible mitigations or 232 resolutions for resolving the issue(s) and/or concern(s). Citizens are also encouraged to 233 submit their own summary of the meeting highlighting concerns/issues and any 234 mitigations and resolutions. It is encouraged that a list (name and address) of attendees 235 be kept and submitted with the open house summary. The applicant/developer is 236 responsible for mailing a copy of the meeting summary to all attendees who provided 237 their names and addresses on the sign-in sheet. 238 239 At this time Chair Grefenberg proposed the following strategic recommendation: 240 241 Strategic Recommendation 9.1.b: Co-host with the proper governing board or 242 neighborhood association open houses in the community to display renderings, drawing 243 and maps of the proposal and set aside time to respond to residents' questions and 244 concerns. The applicant/developer is responsible for mailing a copy of the meeting 245 summary to all attendees who provided their names and addresses on the sign-in sheet. 246 247 Commissioner Miller clarified the Planning Department did not feel that Staff had the time to 248 prepare these summaries. 249 250 Chair Grefenberg explained that the number of open houses is usually over 50 and this would 251 take a significant amount of Staff time to prepare these reports. The Planning Commission also 252 stated that Staff should not be in between the developer and the neighborhood in these open 253 house settings. He stated this would provide a process for neighbors to object to the summary of 254 the developer to ensure their concerns/issues were properly conveyed. 255 256 Commissioner Kathy Ramundt asked if these summaries should be posted to the City's website 257 as well, for those people who were not able to attend an open house but still want to know what 258 had occurred at the meeting. 259 260 Chair Grefenberg stated these summaries would be included in the meeting packet for the 261 Planning Commission. Anyone reading that packet would have a few days notice to appear 262 directly. 263 264 Commissioner Ramundt stated the Community Engagement Commission would need to ensure 265 this is communicated to the residents so they are aware of where to find this information. 266 267 The Specific Task Force Strategic Recommendations under 9.1 are suggested for consideration 268 by this joint task force as a starting point in their deliberations. For purposes of reference only 269 these Task Force Recommendations are: 270

271	
272	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.a.i: Expand the notification radius for projects reaching a
273	threshold of having significant impact, based on those proposals that meet certain criteria.
274	We recognize developing such criteria is challenging and therefore recommend the
275	following as a starting point: Environmental impact including any use that will generate
276	air emissions beyond normal heating and cooling or restaurant exhaust; and noise that
277	may be heard beyond a 500-foot radius or at any distance from the property before 7:00
278	a.m. or after 5:00 p.m. weekdays or anytime on weekends and holidays; any proposal
279	requiring a mandatory Environmental Assessment Worksheet (EAW) or a proposal that
280	would require and EAW on its own if an Alternative Urban Area-Wide Review (AUAR)
281	had not been prepared.
282	
283	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.a.ii: Expand the notification radius for projects reaching a
284	threshold of having significant impact, based on those proposals that meet certain criteria:
285	Any proposal requiring a change to the Comprehensive Plan or an interpretation of the
286	intent of the Comprehensive Plan.
287	
288	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.a.iii: Expand the notification radius for projects reaching a
289	threshold of having significant impact, based on those proposals that meet certain
290	criteria. We recognize developing such criteria is challenging and therefore recommend
291	the following starting point: Any proposal requiring a rezoning for a site of more than
292	one (1) acre.
293	
294	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.a.iv: Expand the notification radius for projects reaching a
295	threshold of having significant impact, based on those proposals that meet certain criteria.
296	We recognize developing such criteria is challenging and therefore recommend the
297	following as a starting point: any subdivision creating more than 20 residential lots or
298	more than 40 residential dwelling units.
299	
300	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.b: Require notification for such proposals be provided to
301	any established neighborhood organization any part of which falls within 500-feet of the
302	proposal and to all residents and businesses within 1500-feet of the proposal and solicit
303	their input. Highway and freeway rights-of-way shall not be included in the measured
304	radius and the City will liberally interpret this notice criteria.
305	
306	Strategic Recommendation 9.1.e: Provide administrative and communication supports for
307	the above mentioned information meetings, such as maintaining an attendance list and
308	taking notes; providing information on the proposed schedule, future public meetings,
309	and review and decision process; and informing the public on how to access staff reports
310	and other information regarding the proposal.
311	
312	Work Group G recommended keeping the following strategic recommendation:
313	

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 8 of 25

Strategic Recommendation 9.1.c: Work with governing associations of condominiums 314 and townhomes to notify residents, and advise neighborhood groups and associations of 315 pending development issues as soon as legally-allowable and solicit their input. 316 317 318 Chair Grefenberg stated recently there had been some public and City Council discussion on the accessibility and understanding of City Zoning notices to the general public. As a response to 319 this Work Group G recommended adding new Policy 9.2 and corresponding Strategic 320 Recommendation 9.2.1 and rationale as follows: 321 322 Policy 9.2: The City should reassess its zoning notices so as to increase public 323 324 understandability. 325 Strategic Recommendation 9.2.1: The City should reassess the notification language and 326 format so as to maximize understandability and convey their importance as official local 327 governmental notices with potential impact upon the recipient's property and 328 neighborhood. The rationale would be to ensure that recipients understand what they are 329 being notified of and the impact of any zoning, variance, change in zoning code, or 330 related proposal, terms such as interim use permit, conditional use, variance, should not 331 be relied upon to convey the intent of the notice, and every effort should be made to use 332 language which is easily understood by a high school graduate. 333 334 Chair Grefenberg stated any Work Group or Committee recommendation does not need a second 335 and it is automatically on the table as a motion for a vote. 336 337 The motion from Work Group G: Operations Committee (Completed or Nearly 338 Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff) are: keep policy 1.0 and Policy 1.1 339 and add the stated rationale to the 2014 Report to the Council along with the 2012 Report 340 reference to the Edina *Public Participation in the budgeting Process*; no further action is 341 required for 1.1.a; make the suggested changes to 1.1.a.i including the suggested time line; adopt 342 new Policy 10.0; delete Strategic Recommendation 4.1.a; set aside Strategic Recommendation 343 4.1.b; assign Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a to Work Group D: Neighborhoods; adopt new 344 Strategic Recommendation 10.1 and the suggested timeline; keep Policy 3.0as revised with the 345 clarifying language; keep Strategic Recommendation 3.1.a as found in the original 2012 Task 346 Force Recommendations with the addition of the rationale statement; make Strategic 347 Recommendation 3.1.b Policy 9.2; keep Policy 7.1; revise and adopt Strategic Recommendation 348 349 7.1.b as proposed; add new Strategic Recommendation 9.1.b and rationale as amended; keep Strategic Recommendation 9.1.c; add new Policy 9.2; add Strategic Recommendation 9.2.1 and 350 include the rationale; and adopt the Strategic Recommendations under Policy 9.1 as listed. 351 352 Chair Grefenberg stated one of the main sections added would be a new section for volunteerism, 353 which is the basic statements and goals including the reference to seniors. This may not be the 354 only recommendation but it begins this section. 355 356 357 Commissioner Miller asked if there were any other items being slotted under this section other than tapping into the senior community. 358

359 Chair Grefenberg said there was one other item, the substance of which he could not recall at this 360 time. He also suggested that before the Commission starts adding more specific 361 recommendations they should probably meet with the City Volunteer Coordinator Kelly 362 363 O'Brien. At this time this would be considered a place holder. The Commission would have an opportunity to add to this at future meetings. 364 365 There being no discussion Chair Grefenberg called the motion to a vote. The motion carried 366 unanimously. 367 368 369 b. Work Group D: Neighborhoods 370 371 Chair Grefenberg stated the participants in Work Group D: Neighborhoods were himself, 372 Commissioners Mueller and Ramundt. 373 374 Commissioner Ramundt presented the Work Group D recommendations starting with keeping 375 376 Policy 5.2, Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a and Policy 7.0 as follows: 377 Policy 5.2: The City should include pertinent information and stories related to civic 378 engagement and neighborhoods in its print communications. 379 380 Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a: Include information related specifically to 381 neighborhoods and their activities in the Roseville City News. 382 383 Policy 7.0: Enhance Overall City Communication 384 385 Work Group D recommended revising Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a and adding Strategic 386 Recommendation 7.1.d as follows: 387 388 389 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a: Organize/host neighborhood or community meetings for projects that pose issues of substantial community or neighborhood-wide impact to 390 engage in dialogue before the Council or any Commission takes any formal action. This 391 would allow the City or Commissions to explain the project, answer any questions, 392 identify pros and cons, and get a feel for residents' viewpoints. 393 394 395 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d: Explore other ways to engage and communicate with residents on projects that pose issues of substantial community or neighborhood-wide 396 397 impact, such as surveys, social media, an interactive website dialogue, and other means. 398 Work Group D recommended keeping Policy 7.2, revising Strategic Recommendation 7.2.a, 399 adding Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and renumbering and revising the current Strategic 400 Recommendation 7.2.b and adding former Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale for the 401 renumbered Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b. These Recommendations are as follows: 402 403

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 10 of 25

404	Delieu 7.2. The City should emphasize communication utilizing evicting systems more
404 405	Policy 7.2: The City should emphasize communication utilizing existing systems more proactively and effectively with the intention if engaging residents.
403 406	proactively and effectively with the intention if engaging residents.
400	Strategic Recommendation 7.2.a: Connect Nextdoor neighborhood leads to facilitate
407	communication between them on issues of city-wide significance.
408	communication between them on issues of enty-while significance.
409	New Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b: Devise a process for identifying, maintaining, and
410	updating Nextdoor neighborhood leads. Consider ways the City could support the efforts
412	of Nextdoor leads in disseminating information necessary for neighborhood-building
412	efforts.
414	
415	Renumbered Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b: Use Neighborhood networks such as
416	homeowner associations and neighborhood associations, such as SWARN (SouthWest
417	Area of Roseville Neighborhoods), the Lake McCarron's Neighborhood Association, the
418	Twin Lakes Neighborhood Association and other neighborhood networks to supplement
419	existing information systems and to invite residents' responses. When a City Department
420	organizes an informational meeting it should seek out an association or neighborhood
421	group with which to collaborate and organize said meeting.
422	
423	The rationale for this strategic recommendation is that by utilizing various neighborhood
424	networks and organizations to disseminate information relevant to the City and its
425	neighborhoods, the City will assist these groups in providing value to their members and
426	neighbors. The City will also gain increased coverage of news and notifications to its
427	residents.
428	
429	Work Group D also recommended keeping Policy 8.0, Policy 8.1, Strategic Recommendation
430	8.1.c, and revising Strategic Recommendations 8.1.a, 8.1.b, and 8.1.d. These are as follows:
431	
432	Policy 8.0: Foster and Support Vibrant Neighborhoods
433	
434	Policy 8.1: The City should support residents' efforts to build community within their
435	neighborhood.
436	
437	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a: Support the creation of resident-defined neighborhoods.
438	(See Edina Name Your Neighborhood at www.edinamn.gov/category/neighborhood as an
439	example of allowing residents to determine their neighborhoods names and boundaries.)
440	
441	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b: Monitor and evaluate the success of
442	www.nextdoor.com and include goal related metrics and use satisfaction.
443	
444	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c: (renumbered appropriately) Provide materials to
445	support neighborhood gatherings throughout the year, similar to the Night to Unite
446	materials offered through the Neighborhood Watch Program.
447	

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 11 of 25

- 448 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d: (renumbered appropriately) Utilize City News to 449 communicate news and items of interest to neighbors and neighborhoods. Solicit input 450 and contributions from residents and neighborhood groups. 451
- 452 Commissioner Jonathan Miller asked how neighborhood boundaries for NextDoor had been453 defined.
- 454
- Commissioner Ramundt said two (2) years ago the City defined the neighborhoods using the
 parks constellations established by the Parks and Recreation Department. Some of the NextDoor
 neighborhoods have only 10% membership. She recommended adding Nextdoor as a future
- agenda item so that more information could be provided to the Commissioners.
- Commissioner Ramundt stated that NextDoor was a City program but Nextdoor did establish the
 neighborhoods as recommended by the City through its Parks Department.
- 462

459

- 463 Staff Liaison Garry Bowman commented that City Staff has done some city-wide and
 464 neighborhood postings on NextDoor, but the City's view is Nextdoor is a community asset and
 465 not a City asset. Therefore there has been reluctance from the City to have any City oversight
- 466 over NextDoor.
- 467
- Commissioner Ramundt commented that by identifying the neighborhood leads the City could
 recommend what these leads could do within the community. This could increase community
 involvement.
- 471
- Chair Grefenberg clarified that Nextdoor is a product of a private corporation headquartered in
 San Francisco. In their commitment to their subscribers they state the City cannot access
- individual neighborhoods webpages however, the City can do a city-wide posting.
- 475
- 475 Commissioner Miller suggested adding this as a future agenda item.
- 477
- Commissioner Ramundt continued to present the Work Group D recommendations by deleting
 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, 8.2.a.i, 8.2.a.iii, 8.2.a.iv and 8.2.b (this was added as the
- rationale for Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b). The Work Group recommended keeping Policy
- 481 8.2 and Strategic Recommendations 8.2.a.ii and renumber this appropriately with the deletion of
- 482 8.2.a.i and 8.3.a and adding Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b. The Work Group also
- recommended renumbering 8.2.a.iv.1, and 8.2.a.iv.2 as appropriate along with the revision to
 8.2.a.iv.2. These are as follows:
- 485
- 486 Policy 8.2: The City should support residents in developing more formalized
 487 neighborhoods and/or neighborhood organizations.
- 488 489 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: (renumber appropriately) Provide residents wishing 490 to form a neighborhood network or association with the following: definition and
- 491 examples of a neighborhood network or association, a clear process to formalize such

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 12 of 25

 www.stlouispark.org/neighborhoods/neighborhood-associations.html.) Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b: (renumbered appropriately) City recognition of Neighborhood Associations should be premised on the assumption that neighborhood boundaries are inclusive and not exclusive. Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.	492	groups, and City recognition and benefits to officially-recognized groups. (See
 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b: (renumbered appropriately) City recognition of Neighborhood Associations should be premised on the assumption that neighborhood boundaries are inclusive and not exclusive. Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv. 1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: nemove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a. be prolicy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, arenumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, arenumber and revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and strategic Recommendation 8.2.a. it. accommendation 8.1.a., revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a., revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a., revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b., ke		
495 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b: (renumbered appropriately) City recognition of 496 Neighborhood Associations should be premised on the assumption that neighborhood 497 boundaries are inclusive and not exclusive. 498		
 Neighborhood Associations should be premised on the assumption that neighborhood boundaries are inclusive and not exclusive. Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a. keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, add Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and molex revepolicy 8.1.delete Strategic Recommendation 8		Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b: (renumbered appropriately) City recognition of
497 boundaries are inclusive and not exclusive. 498 499 499 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove 500 501 503 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove 504 505 505 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 506 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 507 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 510 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 511 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 514 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 515 meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. 518 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. 519 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting 519 information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommendation 7.1.a,		
499 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove 500 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove 503 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove 504 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 505 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.: remove 506 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.l: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 501 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 512 physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 514 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 515 meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. 518 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. 522 The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep 523 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. 524 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, revise Strategic R	497	
500 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove 501 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove 502 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 503 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 504 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 505 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 510 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 511 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 512 physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 514 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 515 Marategic Recommendation 8.1.a. 516 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. 517 meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. 518 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting 519	498	
501 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii: remove 503 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii: remove 504 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 505 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 506 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 507 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 511 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 512 physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove 514 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 519 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting 519 Information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommendation 7.1.a, add 529 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. 520 The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep 521 Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic R	499	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: remove
502 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii: remove 503 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 506 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 507 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 510 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 512 physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove 514 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 517 meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. 518 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. 519 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting 520 information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommendation 7.1.a, add 521 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. 522 The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep 523 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d., keep	500	
503Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii: remove504505505Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove506507508website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact509person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html).510511511Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the512physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513514515Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove516Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of517meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a:519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting521information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing522Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add523Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a. revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b arationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2.524Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, a	501	Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i: remove
504 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove 506 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 507 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's 508 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact 509 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). 511 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the 512 physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. 513 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 516 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of 517 meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. 518 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting 519 information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing 521 Strategic Recommendation 5.1.a. keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add 523 Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic 524 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recomm	502	
505Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove506507508website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact509person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html).510511511Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the512physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove514Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove515meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518514519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting520information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing521Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add525Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, itele Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and revise Carcentendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and steregic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and revise Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber523Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b524 <td< td=""><td>503</td><td>Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii: remove</td></td<>	503	Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii: remove
 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, keep Policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a, detet Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, and the strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 2, add keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 2, add keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 2, add keet Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 2, add keet Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, iv, 2, add keet Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, i	504	
507Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1: (renumbered appropriately) A page on the City's website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html).508strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove514Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518f519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e.522The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise Carcommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a, equipe Nategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a, reumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a, ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a, revise Strategic Recommendatio	505	Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv: remove
 website with the neighborhood's name, boundaries, characteristics, events, and contact person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2.y. delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2.y. delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 	506	
 person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html). Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b strategic Recommendation 8.2.b ar artionale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b. artionale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b. artionale, keep Policy 8.0, keep strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b. penumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, 		
 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted. Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, revise Artaegic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete 		
511Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2: The City should consider adding signage in the512physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513514514Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove515516516Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of517meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518519519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting520information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing521Strategic Recommendation 5.1.e.522The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep523Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add525Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b526Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic527Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic528Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete529Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete529Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete529Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber530Strategic Recommen		person. (Example at www.stloouispark.org/wolfe-park.html).
512physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.513514514Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove515516516Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of517meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518519519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting520information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing521Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e.522523523The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep524Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add525Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1,529revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic530Recommendation 8.1.e, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and531keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete532Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2,534delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2,535delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2,536delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2,537delete St		
513Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove515516516Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of517meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518519519Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting520information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing521Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e.522523523The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep524Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add525Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add526Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1,527and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic528Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic530Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and531keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete532Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber533Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2,534delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c,		
514Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b: remove515516517518518519519519519511510511512513513514515515516517518518519519511511512513514515515516517518518519519511511512513514515515516517518519519511511512513514515515516517518519519519511521522522523524525526527528529529520520521522522523524525526527528529529520520521522522523524<		physical neighborhood when neighborhood names are identified and commonly accepted.
 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, 		Startes is Decementation 8.2 hourses
 Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a: Compile, maintain and make readily available a list of meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings. Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, 2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		Strategic Recommendation 8.2.0: remove
517meeting places for Roseville residents to use when organizing neighborhood meetings.518519519519520521522522523524525525526527528529529520521522523524525525526527528529529520521522522523524525525526527528529529520521522522523524525526527528529529520520521522522523524525526527528529529520520521522522523524525526527528529529520520521521522522523524525526526527 </td <td></td> <td>Strategic Decommondation 8.2 or Compile maintain and make readily evoilable a list of</td>		Strategic Decommondation 8.2 or Compile maintain and make readily evoilable a list of
 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, 		meeting places for Rosevine residents to use when organizing nerghoomood meetings.
 information about neighborhoods and this is why the Work Group recommended removing Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, 		Chair Grefenberg stated that the Cable News was not seen as an important means for getting
 Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e. The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.c., strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, 		Strategie Recommendation 0.1.0.
 Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a, keep Policy 7.0, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		The motion on the floor from Work Group D: Neighborhoods is to: keep Policy 5.2, keep
 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.d, keep Policy 7.2, revise Strategic Recommendation 7.2.s, add Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b, renumber and revise current Strategic Recommendation 7.2.b and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 and include Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b as rationale, keep Policy 8.0, keep policy 8.1, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.a, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b, keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 Recommendation 8.1.c, revise Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, keep Policy 8.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.i, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 keep Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.ii, add new Strategic Recommendation 8.3.b, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 		
 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iii, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv, renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 	531	
 533 Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2, 534 delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c, 	532	
delete Strategic Recommendation 8.2.b, renumber and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.1.c,	533	Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.1, revise and renumber Strategic Recommendation 8.2.a.iv.2,
535 and keen Strategic Recommendation 8.3 a	534	
and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a.	535	and keep Strategic Recommendation 8.3.a.
536	536	

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 13 of 25

- There being no further discussion Chair Grefenberg called the motion to a vote. The motion 537 carried unanimously. 538 539 540 541 c. Work Group C: Community Communications 542 Chair Grefenberg stated the members of Work Group C: Community Communications were 543 Vice-Chair Becker, Commissioner Manke, and Commissioner Miller. 544 545 At this time Chair Grefenberg asked Vice-Chair Becker to chair this portion of the meeting, and 546 left the room during this portion of the Commission meeting. 547 548 Commissioner Miller presented the report for Work Group C: Community Communications. 549 The Work Group recommended keeping Policy 2.2, Policy 5.1, and Policy 5.2 and revise 550 Strategic Recommendation 2.2.a, 5.1.b, and 5.2.c. These recommendations are as follows: 551 552 Policy 2.2: The City should widely publicize openings on all Commissions and ad hoc 553 groups and encourage residents to apply. 554 555 556 Strategic Recommendation 2.2.a: Fully utilize existing print and electronic means to announce openings on City Commissions and task forces. Such means include but are 557 not limited to the Roseville City News, Roseville Patch, Roseville Review, Roseville 558 Issues Forum, various social media, and the neighborhood network NextDoor. 559 560 Policy 5.1: The City should continue to disseminate information via printed material. 561 562 keeping in mind that many residents rely solely on print media for news and information. 563 Strategic Recommendation 5.1.b: Make City Council decisions readily available in print 564 form for residents at City Hall upon request so that people without email are able to 565 access this information. 566 567 Policy 5.2: The City should include pertinent information and stories related to civic 568 engagement and neighborhoods in its print communication. 569 570 Strategic Recommendation 5.2.c: Invite residents to generate story ideas for the City Staff 571 on items of interest for City News and possible other communications such as the 572 biweekly electronic newsletter. 573 574 Commissioner Ramundt asked if there would be other locations where City Council decisions 575 could be made available at. 576 577 Commissioner Miller suggested the Public Library. 578 579 Staff Liaison Bowman stated the City News was delivered to the Library. He stated the options 580
 - available to the City would be City Hall, the Library, and mailings.

582 Commissioner Ramundt suggested the Community Engagement Commission consider having 583 this type of information available at other locations in the future. 584 585 586 Vice Chair Becker stated this could be something that could be worked out with the Library because they do have the meetings available there as well. 587 588 Commissioner Miller continued the presentation for Work Group C by stating the Work Group 589 recommended keeping Policy 6.1 and 6.2 and removing Strategic Recommendation 6.1.a, and 590 6.1.b, revising Strategic Recommendations 6.2.a and 6.2.b, and keeping Strategic 591 592 Recommendation 6.2.c. The Work Group also recommended keeping Policy 6.3 with revisions, keeping Strategic Recommendation 6.3.a, adding Strategic Recommendation 6.3.aa, reviewing 593 Strategic Recommendation 6.3.b after the new City website is live, revising Strategic 594 Recommendation 6.3.c and removing Strategic Recommendation 6.3.d. These are as follows: 595 596 Policy 6.1: The City should continuously improve its website to make it more user-597 friendly, thereby fostering civic engagement. 598 599 Strategic Recommendation 6.1.a: remove 600 601 Strategic Recommendation 6.1.b: remove 602 603 Policy 6.2: The City should maximize two-way communications technologies to facilitate 604 timely public participation and engagement. 605 606 Strategic recommendation 6.2.a: Make use of existing electronic communications 607 channels and networks (website, community engagement module, email alerts, Roseville 608 Community Forum, NextDoor, social media, etc.) to connect with and actively engage 609 Roseville citizens with an emphasis on two-way communication. 610 611 Strategic Recommendation 6.2.c: Create an area of the website (or web-based 612 communications) focused specifically on public engagement information and resources 613 for citizens, including two-way communication (see Edina's Citizen Engagement blog as 614 an example). 615 616 Strategic Recommendation 6.2.b: Continue to explore new media channels to connect 617 with and actively engage Roseville citizens with an emphasis on two-way 618 communication. 619 620 Policy 6.3: The City should make readily available City Council and Commission agenda 621 items, minutes, and recorded meetings through its website and CTV cable television. 622 623 Strategic Recommendation 6.3.a: Publish approved City Council and Commission 624 meeting minutes on the City website in a timely manner, such as within one (1) week of 625 approval. 626

627	
628	Strategic Recommendation 6.3.aa: If public meeting minutes are not approved in a timely
629	manner, such as within one month, publish draft minutes on its website until minutes are
630	finalized.
631	
632	Strategic Recommendation 6.3.b: (review this recommendation after the new City
633	website has gone live) Offer the full text of meeting agendas in the body of email alerts
634	and meeting notices rather than requiring the extra step to click a link to learn of the full
635	agenda.
636	
637	Strategic Recommendation 6.3.c: Include a link to the specific recorded televised City
638	meeting on the same page as the meeting minutes and/or agenda.
639	
640	Strategic Recommendation 6.3.d: remove
641	
642	There being no discussion Commissioner Miller continued with the recommendations for Work
643	Group C. The Work Group recommended keeping Policy 6.4, keeping Strategic
644	Recommendation 6.4.a and adding Strategic Recommendation 6.4.e as follows:
645	
646	Policy 6.4: The City should foster direct and efficient email communication with public
647	officials.
648	
649	Strategic Recommendation 6.4.a: Create and publish public, City-domain email addresses
650	for City Council members and Commissioners to directly receive email from and send
651	email to citizens on public matters without requiring City Staff to manually forward such
652	messages. (The online contact form may still be useful for individuals without email).
653	
654	Strategic Recommendation 6.4.e: Department heads and other key Staff members email
655	addresses should be listed in the City Staff Directory and on the City's redesigned
656	website under their department or division.
657	
658	Commissioner Miller stated under the category of "print" the Work Group recommended
659	keeping Policy 5.1, Strategic Recommendation 5.1.a, adding Strategic Recommendation
660	formally numbered 7.2.d and renumber it accordingly, keeping Policy 5.2, moving Strategic
661	Recommendation 5.2.a to Work Group D: Neighborhoods, deleting Strategic Recommendation
662	5.2.b. These changes are as follows:
663 664	Policy 5.1: The City should continue to disseminate information via printed material and
665	other means, keeping in mind that many residents rely solely on print media.
666	other means, keeping in mind that many residents fery solery on print media.
667	Strategic Recommendation 5.1.a: Continue to disseminate Roseville City News and
668	ensure all residents including renters and those living in non-singly-family homes receive
669	the paper.
670	the paper.
070	

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 16 of 25

67 1	Startes is Decementary for for a complete second
671 (72	Strategic Recommendation 5.1.a: (renumber as appropriate) Reinstate the "Welcome Packet" for new residents of Roseville and incorporate information needed to foster
672	-
673	volunteerism and effective civic engagement in the "Welcome Packet".
674	Deliev 5.2. The City should include northeast information and staries related to sivia
675	Policy 5.2: The City should include pertinent information and stories related to civic
676	engagement and neighborhoods in its print communications.
677	Strategic Decommondation 5.2 or moved to Work Crown D. Neighborhoods
678 (70	Strategic Recommendation 5.2.a: moved to Work Group D: Neighborhoods
679 (80	Strategic Decommondation 5.2 h; remove
680 681	Strategic Recommendation 5.2.b: remove
682	Commissioner Miller stated for the group Enhance Overall City Communication the Work
682 683	Group would recommend reassigning Policy 7.1 and removing Policy 7.2 and under Foster and
684	Support Vibrant Neighborhoods the Work Group recommended reassigning Policy 8.1 and
685	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, 8.1.d, 8.1.e and 3.1.b as follows:
686	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, 8.1.d, 8.1.e and 5.1.6 as follows.
687	Policy 7.1: reassigned to Work Group G: Operations Committee; Completed or Nearly
688	Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff.
689	complete, responsionity of other commissions and/or other.
690	Policy 7.2: remove
691	Toney 7.2. Temove
692	Policy 8.1: reassigned to Work Group D: Neighborhoods
693	2 one four reasonghead to the one enough 2 through our of all
694	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: reassign to Work Group D: Neighborhoods.
695	
696	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.d: reassigned to Work Group D: Neighborhoods
697	
698	Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e: reassigned to Work Group D: Neighborhoods
699	
700	Strategic Recommendation 3.1.b: reassigned to Work Group G: Operations Committee;
701	Completed or Nearly Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff
702	
703	The motion on the floor from Work Group C: Community Communications was to keep Policy
704	2.2, keep Strategic Recommendation 2.2.a, keep Policy 5.1, revise and keep Strategic
705	Recommendation 5.1.b, keep Policy 5.2, keep and revise Strategic recommendation 5.2.c, keep
706	Policy 6.1, remove Strategic Recommendation 6.1.a, remove Strategic Recommendation 6.1.b,
707	keep Policy 6.2, keep and revise Strategic Recommendation 6.2.a, keep Strategic
708	Recommendation 6.2.c, keep and revise Strategic Recommendation 6.2.b, keep and revise Policy
709	6.3, keep Strategic Recommendation 6.3.a, add Strategic Recommendation 6.3.aa, revisit
710	Strategic Recommendation 6.3.b after the new City website is live, keep and revise Strategic
711	Recommendation 6.3.c, remove Strategic Recommendation 6.3.d, keep Policy 6.4, keep Strategic
712	Recommendation 6.4.a, add Strategic Recommendation 6.4.e, keep Policy 5.1, keep Strategic
713	Recommendation 5.1.a, add Strategic Recommendation formally 7.2.d and renumber
714	appropriately, keep Policy 5.2, move Strategic Recommendation to Work Group D:
715	Neighborhoods, move Policy 7.1 to Work Group G: Operations Committee; Completed or

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 17 of 25

Nearly Complete/Responsibility of Other Commissions and/or Staff, remove Policy 7.2, move 716 Policy 8.1 to Work Group D: Neighborhoods, and move Strategic Recommendation 8.1.e, 8.1.d, 717 718 8.1.e to Work Group D: Neighborhoods. 719 720 There being no further discussion Vice Chair Becker called the motion to a vote. The motion carried unanimously. 721 722 d. Items Set-Aside from Work Group A & E: Community Outreach and 723 **Council/Commissions/Staff in the Community** 724 725 726 At this time Chair Grefenberg resumed the Chair, 727 Grefenberg reminded the Commissioners that certain items were set-aside for further discussion 728 from Work Group A & E at the September 11, 2014, Commission meeting. At the request of 729 Commissioner Ramundt he had met with her and together they had agreed upon certain 730 revisions to these outstanding recommendations: Strategic Recommendations 1.1.c, 2.2.b, 7.1.c, 731 732 5.1.c, and 4.1.b. 733 Their joint Strategic Recommendations as revised are as follows: 734 735 Chair Grefenberg stated these items are from the September 11, 2014 Work Group Reports. 736 Chair Grefenberg and Commissioner Ramundt had met and drafted revisions to the outstanding 737 Strategic Recommendations 1.1.c, 2.2.b, 7.1.c, 5.1.c, 4.1.b from Work Group A & E: 738 Community Outreach and Council/Commissions/Staff in the Community. These Strategic 739 Recommendations are revised as follows: 740 741 Strategic Recommendation 1.1.c: Recognize the changing demographics of Roseville in 742 order to understand how best to keep them informed and involved. 743 744 Strategic Recommendation 2.2.b: Encourage community engagement and civic 745 participation across all demographic lines. 746 747 Strategic Recommendation 7.1.c: Encourage Staff to communicate and consult with 748 community and neighborhood leaders on issues important to Roseville's development. 749 750 Strategic Recommendation 5.1.c: Explore various options to include residents without 751 computer access in community-building and communications. 752 753 Strategic Recommendation 4.1.b: Repurpose and existing or create a new City position to 754 support effective community and civic engagement across all departments. This position 755 would coordinate neighborhood and community relations; he/she could develop 756 procedures and methods to improve, track, and provide clear and consistent two-way 757 communication between City government and residents and businesses, and find 758 opportunities for more effective civic engagement. We recommend that this position also 759 work with the Community Engagement Commission. The timeline for this Strategic 760

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 18 of 25

Recommendation is the City Council should consider a new staff position during the 761 2016 budget process at the earliest and repurposing an existing position to include such 762 duties could occur sooner. 763 764 765 In explaining his and Commissioner Ramundt's recommendations on these set-asides Grefenberg said the Commission should not focus solely on specific groups but rather encourage 766 participation across all demographic groups. There may be occasions that may require focusing 767 on a specific group but overall the Commission should consider all demographics. 768 769 Grefenberg asked Commissioner Manke if she had any concerns with revised Strategic 770 771 Recommendation 2.2.b because there had been discussion earlier about determining the different groups in Roseville in order to do targeted marketing. 772 773 774 Commissioner Michelle Manke responded that she had suggested the Commission understand what the different demographics were in the City in order to better understand how to 775 776 communicate with these groups. 777 Chair Grefenberg stated Strategic Recommendation 4.1.b was to point out the need for a staff 778 person to focus on encouraging and facilitating civic engagement throughout. 779 780 Commissioner Ramundt stated she had originally felt this may have been premature, but after 781 hearing about the work Chair Grefenberg has done to get the Twin Lakes Association up and 782 running it became apparent that another Staff member would be useful. After talking with Chair 783 Grefenberg she has a better understanding of the work that a Civic Engagement Coordinator 784 would do. This recommendation does not mean that the City has to now hire a person but that 785 there may be a need in the future. 786 787 Chair Grefenberg pointed out that this position would not need to be at an executive level in 788 terms of salary, but rather a staff position. 789 790 Chair Grefenberg advised the Commission the recommendation would not need a second and the 791 792 motion on the floor at this time would be to adopt Strategic Recommendations 1.1.c, 2.2.b, 7.1.c, 5.1.c, and 4.1.b as presented. The motion carried unanimously. 793 794 795 796 6. CHAIR, COMMITTEE AND STAFF REPORTS 797 a. Chair's Report 798 799 Chair Grefenberg stated if time allowed they would discuss the items that had been set aside and 800 he would like to be able there has been a lot happening. He was impressed with the 801 802 Communications Report to the City Council. 803

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 19 of 25

Now the Commission should work towards enacting some of these recommendations. He 804 recommended putting all of the work policies and strategic recommendations that have been 805 adopted under one cover and start prioritizing these and setting up work plans. 806 807 808 Grefenberg said the Commission may need to get others residents involved since there is a lot of talent in the City that would add a significant resource to the Commission and prevent individual 809 commissions from trying to do it all by themselves. For example, he noted he had received an 810 email from the Karen Organization of Minnesota on some recent evictions from a Roseville 811 apartment building. One of the Commission's charges is to assist underrepresented groups and 812 let them know whom and how they should talk to seek redress for their grievances. 813 814 Grefenberg concluded by saying he would like to see the Commission now aggressively move 815 forward with their agreed upon comprehensive set of recommendations to make to the City 816 Council. 817 818 Staff Liaison Garry Bowman said the City Council only has two (2) meeting in November and 819 two (2) in December. There will be a budget hearing on December 1 and a vote on the budget 820 December 8. There is limited space on the Council's future agendas, but the City Manager may 821 be able to fit a report from the Commission on the agenda. 822 823 b. Website Redesign Committee 824 i. Current Status of Civic Engagement Module (Lead Commissioner 825 **Becker**) 826 827 Staff Liaison Bowman stated that Vice Chair Becker had been out of town and Staff Liaison 828 829 Bowman had been very busy so there has not been a lot of work on this. He does have the criteria and he talked with the City Manager and he would like to have this criteria weighted 830 prior to it being sent out. He recommended a short meeting to look at this. 831 832 833 Vice Chair Becker stated the Website Committee had done this and he would make sure that was available to Staff Liaison Bowman. 834 835 Staff Liaison Bowman stated once he receives this information he will send out the requests for 836 information and have a report for the Commission at the next meeting. He would like to see the 837 Commission make a recommendation prior to their meeting with the City Council so that the 838 Council can act on the recommendation. 839 840 Vice Chair Becker asked if Staff Liaison Bowman planned on sending the weighting along with 841 the criteria to the vendors. Staff Liaison Bowman indicated that was his intent as recommended 842 by the City Manager in order to provide the vendors with additional clarity. 843 844 c. Staff Report on Current Status of Main Website Redesign 845 846 Chair Grefenberg stated the test website is up and running and he had expressed a desire to have 847

some time to look through it and review it prior to making recommendations. He suggested the

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 20 of 25

- Commission meet and develop a list of recommended revisions. He would like to have the Commission proceed in an organized fashion and make a final assessment. He requested a week.
- 851
- 852 Chair Grefenberg stated the test website is up and running and he had requested to have some
- time to look through it and review it prior to making recommendations. He suggested the
- Commission meet and develop a list of recommended revisions. He would like to have the
- Commission proceed in an organized fashion and make a final assessment. He requested a week.
- 856
- Commissioner Ramundt clarified that the specific information on the site was not available yet.
- Staff Liaison Bowman said that the test site has various things the city requested to be built but currently do not link to anything. It was information that the City would like to have linked that
- is not linked at this time but would be done at a later date once Staff had provided that
- 862 information. Because menus can and will be changed in the future, his recommendation would
- be to look at the menus to determine if they are user friendly, if there is information that is
- missing, or additional information that the Commission would like to see included. He added
- that not all the blanks have been filled in yet and much of the content would not be available
- until after the website was launched. The overall feel and look is what should be looked at and
- 867 considered at this time because these are not easy modifications to make after the site is
- launched. There should be a live website in a couple of weeks.
- 869
- Chair Grefenberg said he would prefer an opportunity for the Website Redesign Committee to
- 871 meet and include all Commissioners who wish to attend so that the look and feel/design can be
- reviewed. The Commission could also chose the alternative that this could be something
- decided by the Website Redesign Committee. He asked that all other written comments include
- Vice Chair Becker. He would like to see this done within a week.
- 875
- Vice Chair Becker asked the Website Redesign Committee if they felt the need to meet in order to discuss these changes or if individual reviews would be preferred.
- 878
- Commissioner Miller stated that he could review it but he would not be able to schedule ameeting.
- 881
- Chair Grefenberg said the Committee would determine the need for meetings. He would like tose something from the Committee but there would not have to be a full Commission meeting.
- 884
- Vice Chair Becker stated he could receive all the individual comments and compile the information and this could be done by the end of next week.
- 887
- 888 Commissioner Manke clarified that every Commissioner could provide feedback to Vice Chair
- Becker and he would consolidate this information into a single document for Staff LiaisonBowman.
- 891

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 21 of 25

- 892 Chair Grefenberg expressed concerns that the Vice Chair would in effect be providing group
- assessment in regards to design, usefulness, and content, which was not within any
- 894 Commissioner's authority.
- 895
- Staff Liaison Bowman suggested sending their individual comments to him and he wouldcompile the document.
- 898
- 899 Chair Grefenberg stated this would not work since it was the Commission's responsibility as a 900 body and the Commission itself should determine what its position was on the new website
- design, not simply transmit to Bowman each individual members' opinion. Since a new website is something that the Commission--and its predecessor the Task Force-- had been working on for two years he would like to see an unified organizational approach.
- 903 904
- Commissioner Ramundt stated Staff Liaison Bowman is gathering general feedback at this time and they would be adding the content and once this has been done then there would be more for people to react to.
- 908
- Staff Liaison Bowman stated it is not a finalized website at this time but he has felt that he
- needed to push it forward from design to test site and he has been reacting to what people aretelling him they want.
- 912
- 913 Vice Chair Becker stated he would be happy to consolidate the individual responses into a single
- report but it was unclear what the Commission would be recommending at this point because
- 915 there would be nothing to decide on.
- 916
- Staff Liaison Bowman confirmed that even after the website is launched there would beopportunities for changes and edits.
- 919
- Commissioner Mueller stated at this time the Commission should not be concerned because after
 it is live if there are things the Commission would like to see changed or added it would be
 possible.
- 923
- Chair Grefenberg stated he would accept as appropriate for the Commission but does not agree that individual commissioners should make these recommendations. He wants to see the CEC
- that individual commissioners should make these recommendations. He wants to see the CEC
 make a unified recommendation. What he now understands is the website is incomplete and is in
- 927 a "big picture" format.
- 928
- Staff Liaison Bowman clarified the feedback that he is requesting at this time has to do with lookand feel of the overall design.
- 931
- Chair Grefenberg asked, for example, if the question of the site including a Neighborhood page
- would be something that should be brought up at this time or could such a change be made later.
- Staff Liaison Bowman stated a page could be added at any time as long as the content is
- 935 prepared.
- 936

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 22 of 25

Vice Chair Becker stated those items such as specific pages and content would be appropriate for
the Commission to act on and make a unified recommendation, but the overall look and feel to
users would require individual responses. Opinions and subjective judgments could not be
presented as a unified recommendation.

941

Chair Grefenberg suggested by next week, each Commissioner provide a copy of comments to

Vice Chair Becker and Staff Liaison Bowman and neither the Committee nor the Commission
needs to meet about the preliminary design of the website, recognizing that the Commission
would have the opportunity at a later date to provide direct Commission input regarding the new
website.

946 947

Staff Liaison Bowman stated he would welcome any input on the website, including after thewebsite launch.

950

251 Chair Grefenberg moved, seconded by Vice Chair Becker, to send comments to Staff Liaison

Bowman and Vice Chair Becker regarding the overall design of the website as currently

available on the test site, recognizing that the Commission would have the opportunity to provide

direct input about the content and layout of the website at a future date. The motion carried
unanimously.

955 956

d. Operations Committee

957 958

Chair Grefenberg reported the Operations Committee had met on October 8 and there had been a feeling that a general work plan should be presented to the Commission at the next meeting pertaining on how to take all of the recommendations and proceeding. He stated the Commission would need to have a representative present when other Commissions review the recommendations or policies. There are six (6) Commissions that meet regularly and other groups as well.

The Operations Committee also recommends, the Chair reported, that other residents be appointed by the Commission to serve on its task forces.

There being no comment or input Chair Grefenberg moved to the next item on the agenda.

- 970971 7. NEW BUSINESS
- 972

973

974

a. Commission Consideration of Mayoral Request to Next Door to Allow Residents to Opt-In to Direct Certain Postings to City Staff

Chair Grefenberg reported that at the September 22, 2014 meeting of the City Council, during its
discussion of Garry Bowman's report on the Communication Division past and current activities,
Mayor Roe raised an issue regarding the current inability of NextDoor to allow item members to
direct issues or complaints directly to City Staff. Garry Bowman's answer was that he would

take up this issue with Kathy Ramundt.

981

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 23 of 25

- Chair Grefenberg stated he believed the full Commission should take a position on the 982 recommendation found in Mayor Roe's follow-up email to him and Ramundt. 983 984 Grefenberg reminded the Commissioners that one of the recommendations contained in the 985 986 Neighborhood Work Group Report was: Strategic Recommendation 8.1.b: Monitor and evaluate the success of www.Nextdoor.com and include goal-related metrics and user satisfaction. The 987 Commission's request would be to ask NextDoor to change their website to allow individual 988 residents or complainants to direct their concern/issue to the City. He asked if there would be 989 any objections to the Commission recommending that this request be made of national 990 NextDoor. 991 992 The request would be to ask NextDoor to change their website to allow individual residents or 993 complaints to immediately direct their concern/issue to the City. He asked if there would be any 994 995 objections to the Commission recommending that this request be made of National NextDoor. 996 Commissioner Miller clarified this would be a function that would be new to the website not just 997 998 an option that the City has opted out of. Chair Grefenberg responded that this would be a new option that NextDoor did not currently possess. 999 1000 Commissioner Ramundt stated she had posted a question to leads outside of Roseville on how 1001 they were handling this and she had gotten a couple of responses that this is not what NextDoor 1002 is about. She also said according to the recent City survey only 10% of Roseville residents are 1003 1004 on NextDoor at this time, so she would not see NextDoor making this type of change. The Commission could make the recommendation. She suggested that the Commission could find 1005 neighborhood leads who were willing to take on the role of reviewing posts and letting people 1006 know who to contact on City staff. 1007 1008 Chair Grefenberg made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Miller, to request NextDoor 1009 1010 National to provide an opt-in possibility on postings so that people could choose to have their concern/ issue or request forwarded to the City. 4-ayes – 2-nays (Mueller and Ramundt). 1011 1012 **b.** Other Items 1013 1014 1015 There being no new business at this time the Chair moved to the next agenda item. 1016 1017 8. STAFF REPORT 1018 1019 a. Upcoming Items on Future Council Agendas 1020 1021 1022 Staff Liaison Bowman stated he would work to get the Commission on the City Council agenda. 1023 1024 b. Other Items 1025
- 10261027 There being on other items Chair Grefenberg moved on with the agenda.

1028	
1029	
1030	9. COMMISSION COMMUNICATIIONS, REPORTS, AND ANNOUNCEMENTS
1031	
1032	Chair Grefenberg stated there were no items at this time.
1033	
1034	
1035 1036	10. COMMISSIONER-INITIATED ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS
1037	Chair Grefenberg asked if there were any other items that Commissioners would like to see on
1038	future agendas.
1039	
1040	Commissioner Manke requested the information be sent out prior to the meeting so that
1041	Commissioners would have the opportunity to raise questions or concerns.
1042	
1043	Chair Grefenberg stated it was his intent to have the Operations Committee take a look and set
1044	up priorities and this would be on the next agenda. He stated prioritization might depend on the
1045	actions of the Council and what they determine is important.
1046	
1047	Commissioner Manke stated there might be items that Commissioners feel should be added to
1048	these recommendations since the recommendations reviewed are from a couple of years ago.
1049	Chair Grefenberg stated there had been several new recommendations added. Commissioner
1050	Manke commented that these are not really new recommendations but rather refining the
1051	proposed recommendations.
1052	
1053	Commissioner Ramundt asked if the Council had been asked if there were any specific items that
1054	they would like the Commission to take on.
1055	
1056	Chair Grefenberg stated this would occur at the joint meeting with the City Council. He stated if
1057	there were thing that had not been discussed over the last three months that a Commissioner
1058	would like to have considered then a draft should be sent to him one (1) week prior to the next
1059	meeting for inclusion in the meeting packet.
1060	
1061	
1062	11. RECAP OF COMMISSION ACTIONS THIS MEETING
1063	
1064	Vice Chair Becker stated the Commission would send input to Staff Liaison Bowman and
1065	himself in regards to the website.
1066	
1067	Chair Grefenberg said he would forward the Commission's position to NextDoor requesting the
1068	option to opt-in so that a posting could be sent to the City.
1069	
1070	
1071	12. ADJOURNMENT
1072	

Community Engagement Commission Minutes October 9, 2014 – *Draft Minutes* Page 25 of 25

- Vice Chair Becker made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Manke to adjourn. **The motion** carried unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 p.m. 1073
- 1074

1075