

Community Engagement Commission Agenda

Thursday, July 9, 2015 6:30 p.m. City Council Chambers

		City Council Chambers
6:30 p.m.	1.	Roll Call
	2.	Swearing-In of Commissioner Ebony Adedayo
	3.	Approve Agenda
	4.	Approval of June 11 Meeting Minutes
	5.	Public Comment on Items Not on Agenda
6:45 p.m.	6.	Old Business
		A. Progress Reports on Priority Projects for 2015 (continued)
		i. Priority Projects for 2015
		1. Assist and encourage the formation of Roseville neighborhood associations
		2. Create Learning Events on community engagement in Roseville
		3. Joint task force with Planning Commission to study notification issues and formats
		4. Online civic engagement module for new city website
		5. Assist in the Resumption of Roseville U Program
		ii. Implementation of Other Strategic Recommendations
7:30 p.m.		B. Other Old Business
		i. Strategic Initiatives from 2/17 and 2/18 Council/Staff Workshops
		ii. Community Engagement Commission Webpage Content
		iii. Community Engagement Commission Social Gathering
8:00 p.m.	7.	Chair, Committee, and Staff Reports
		A. Chair's Report
		B. Staff Report
		i. Upcoming Items on Future Council Agendas
		ii. Other Items
8:10 p.m.	8.	New Business
		A. Definitions of Civic and Community Engagement
	9.	Commission Communications, Reports, and Announcements
	10.	Commissioner-Initiated Items for Future Meetings
	11.	Recap of Commission Actions This Meeting
8:40 p.m.	12.	Adjournment

Public Comment is encouraged during Commission meetings. You many comment on items not on the agenda at the beginning of each meeting; you may also comment on agenda items during the meeting by indicating to the Chair your wish to speak.

Be a part of the picture....get involved with your City....Volunteer. For more information, contact Kelly at kelly.obrien@cityofroseville.com or (651) 792-7028.

Community Engagement Commission 1 **Meeting Minutes** 2 DRAFT - June 11, 2015 - DRAFT 3 4 5 6 **Commissioner Present:** Scot Becker, Theresa Gardella, Jonathan Miller, Michelle Manke, 7 Sherry Sanders, and Gary Grefenberg. 8 **Commissioners Absent:** 9 None. 10 **Staff Present:** Garry Bowman 11 12 **Others Present:** Lisa McCormick (part of meeting) 13 14 15 Call to Order 16 17 All Commissioners being present, tThe Community Engagement Commission meeting was 18 called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Chair Scot Becker. 19 20 21 **Approve Agenda** 22 23 Commissioner Michelle Manke moved and Vice Chair Theresa Gardella seconded a motion to 24 approve the agenda as amended. distributed -Motion passed unanimously. 25 26 27 28 **Approve Minutes** 29 Commissioner Gary Grefenberg stated what had been sent out with the agenda for minutes 30 31 contained an error. The last item on the agenda was meant to be an attachment and this item had been creating the Civic Engagement for Diverse Communities. He would like to see the 32 Advocates proposal added to the minutes as an attachment. 33 34 35 Staff Liaison Garry Bowman stated once the minutes are approved this would be included in the online minutes posted. 36 37 Commissioner Grefenberg stated he is requesting they be attached and that there is a reference in 38 the discussion on line 345: "A copy of the draft proposal promoting civic engagement in diverse 39 populations is hereby attached and made a part of this record." 40 41 Commissioner Grefenberg moved and Vice Chair Gardella seconded a motion to add the 42 amendment to add "A copy of the draft proposal promoting civic engagement in diverse 43 populations is hereby attached and made a part of this record." to line 345 and add the Advocates 44 for Human Rights proposal as an attachment to the minutes and approve the May 15, 2015 45 minutes as amended. Motion passed unanimously. 46

Public Comments

There being no one present wishing to speak on an item not on the agenda, the Chair moved to the next agenda item.

Old Business

- a. Progress Reports on Priority Projects for 2015 (continued)
 - i. Priority Projects for 2015
 - 1. Assist and engage the formation of Roseville neighborhood associations

Commissioner Grefenberg stated_reported_the Task Force has met six (6) times and there are several meetings scheduled. The Commission meeting packet includes the informal/draft meeting notes from these the last meetings. meeting; he emphasized the meeting notes were a draft. The Task Force is beginning to draft recommendations but these may not be ready to be presented at the July Commission meeting. The Task Force will have been in existence for 4-months in mid-July. They are expecting to have a final report prepared by the August Commission meeting.

The reason this discussion on timing is pertinent is that the Commission is going before the Council on August 24th, Chair Becker noted. He also noted that Chair Becker stated the Commission meets with the City Council on August 24, 2015, and the Task Force is working to have their report to the Commission by the August meeting so the Commission canand review and discusseeide what direction to take with the report prior to discussing it at the joint meeting with the City Council.

Vice Chair Gardella suggested adding some time on the next agenda to prepare for our presentation to the Council. planning for the City Council joint meeting to the next Commission meeting agenda.

Commissioner Sanders asked if it was customary to require draft notes/minutes from the Task Forces that are established and if there was a specific deadline.

Vice Chair Gardella stated the Commission does id not request draft notes. Grefenberg added that this format was how the Task Force Co-Chairs Donna and himself had decided to use this format in updating the Commission on the Task Forces current discussions this format but this is how the Task Force has chosen to keep the Commission informed of what they are working on their discussions.

Commissioner Grefenberg <u>also noted that elarified</u> the Commission meets with the City Council only once or twice a year and the joint meeting is scheduled in August and the Task Force would

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 3 of 22

like to have their recommendations presented at the August meeting because that time because the Commission may not meet with the City Council again until March of next year.

Staff Liaison Bowman stated if the Commission wanted to bring something to the City Council for consideration this could be added to any Council agenda.

Vice Chair Gardella stated this is an important project and she does not want to see the project rushed because of a deadline.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated said the decision on whether the Task Force needed more time should be up to the Task Force; he is of the opinion that the Task Force is could be ready soon except for the discomfort of some people. The Task Force may have preliminary drafts available for the Commission to review at their July meeting.

Chair Becker stated the joint meeting with the City Council in August is an opportunity for the Commission to update the Council on what they are working on and the progress that has been made with these projects. It is not necessary to have a formal proposal ready for the Council at this meeting.

Ms. Lisa McCormick, CEC Task Force member, stated she had a correction to the draft <u>Task</u> <u>Force</u> minutes being presented.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated changes to the draft minutes should be discussed at the Task Force meeting, and that he had already advised the Commission that what was in their packet was a draft.-

Ms. McCormick stated she had been unaware that the Commission would be receiving this draft at this time and there would be corrections made to her comments. She wanted the Commission to be aware so there would not be confusion when reading through the minutes. She stated if any Commissioners had questions they were welcome to talk to her about this.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated said in the spirit of transparency, which is an on-going issue, the Task Force is encouraged to be transparent and control its own minutes. Therefore, he would suggest if there were any concerns from various Commissioners, to direct these to Donna Spencer or himself. This is the normal way the Commission works with Task Forces.

Ms. McCormick stated in honor of transparency, her comments hold and her invitation holds. She stated she would go through Commissioner Grefenberg and the Task Force. She stated she appreciates that Commissioner Grefenberg is getting tired and feels the Task Force can finish this project but she would like to be sure that the Task Force and/or Commission have vetted the final product and recommendations before they are presented to the City Council. She clarified that the public comments regarding the project taking 4 months had been made in December with the idea that it would be completed by May. With the time of year now, there are different time constraints people are working with.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 4 of 22

Commissioner Grefenberg stated clarified the discussion on the deadline had been at the <u>CEC's</u>
February meeting and the Task Force's first meeting had been March 11.

Vice Chair Gardella <u>stated_said</u> the presentation to the Council in August would not <u>need to</u> be a final recommendation but rather an update of progress. She would support flexibility with the timeline in order to have a final product that the Commission can stand behind. The

Commission would be able to provide a progress report to the City Council based on the work that has already been done by the Task Force.

Ms. McCormick stated due to the time constraints she is withdrawing from the Task Force at this time. She will continue to support the Task Force, but and her comments. In the interest having things move forward she is withdrawing.

Chair Becker thanked Ms. McCormick for her work on the Task Force.

2. Create Learning Events on community engagement in Roseville

Vice Chair Gardella stated at the last Commission meeting the proposal with Advocates for Human Rights had been provided as a bench handout and the Commission had requested time to review this. The Commission had requested she review the City's Strategic Planning document to determine if the proposal from Advocates for Human Rights would align with any of these strategic priorities and the timeline for using the funds available. Ms. Gardella determined that Madeline, from Advocates, stated their grant is flexible and so is the timeline re is flexibility because they are being funded to provide these opportunities to underserved communities. The current round of funding is available through next August and there is great potential for them to receive additional funding. This will allow for Advocates to be flexible with the timing. The Advocates proposed programs do align with several priorities from the City's Strategic Plan. She is hesitant to move forward on the proposal at this time because the City has not determined how their strategic planning is document will ould be used and what programs they would like to move forward with. The listening/learning sessions being proposed by Advocates could be powerful for both the City and the Commission. She recommended the Commission discuss the Strategic Planning document then determine where the proposal from Advocates could fit in.

Commissioner Sherry Sanders stated as a Neighborhood Association Chair for her community, when she met with Madeline she had offered for her community to be involved in this program and participate.

Vice Chair Gardella stated this would be a great place to start since it is a group that is already formed, organized, and meeting.

Commissioner Grefenberg statedasked if they were merging the original conference/learning event(s) into the issue of promoting civic engagement amongst diverse groups.

Vice Chair Gardella stated this was correct. She stated the Commission should be clear between civic engagement and community engagement. Advocates for Human Rights has an expertise

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 5 of 22

around civic engagement but also does community engagement. Depending on how a listening/learning session is structured within the community is <u>could be</u> a great community engagement tool. The Commission would need to be clear about their objectives. She believes the conference idea should be transitioned into listening/learning sessions as an initial start.—She does not believe the City should use resources to create something that the residents may not want.

Commissioner Sanders stated she had outlined a three (3) part project that could be incorporated into one of the listening/learning sessions. —These would include an introduction to the Karen culture to educate established residents, followed by a dialogue between established and new residents so the City can see how they can help and then have a community fair for neighbors to come together including members of the law enforcement. This would be more community engagement but it would also have some civic engagement components.

3. Joint Task Force with Planning Commission to study notification issues and formats

Commissioner Manke stated the first meeting had been held last week. They have decided to meet June 17 and then the first Monday of every month after that. The three members that met had started to draft some things and this will be brought forward to the entire Task Force at the June 17 meeting for additional discussion.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated said he was pleased at the last meeting at how quickly everyone, including the Planning Commission chair, had agreed that renters should be included in the zoning notification process; the Chair of the Planning Committee was open to including renters in the zoning notifications and this should move forward quickly. He suggested the Task Force work to have summary report prepared for the Commission's August 6 13th meeting. The Commission can then present this progress to the City Council at the joint meeting on August 24.

4. Online civic engagement module for new City website

Chair Becker stated the Website Design Committee had met prior to the Commission meeting to discuss ideas for the first five (5) topics for the module. This is expected to launch prior to the Rosefest Parade on June 29. Staff Liaison Bowman has a task list to work on to pull everything together. The Commission will be reviewing draft topics with other members in the City staff and City Manager Trudgeon. The Commission should be able to promote this module during the Rosefest Parade and Party in the Park. There will also be a front page article in the City newsletter in July.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated he had made a request to participate in a conversation with the <u>consultant developer Granicus</u> and this can be further discussed with Staff Liaison Bowman. City Councilmember McGehee stated she would like to have an insert in the <u>City</u> newsletter regarding the City Budget and budget deadlines. <u>He Grefenberg</u> would like to see the City Budget and Budget deadlines <u>also</u> included on the website.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 6 of 22

In response to a request for clarification from Commissioner Sanders, Chair Becker stated the module itself was for civic engagement and it would be an add-on to the City's website. There will be an icon to click on and this will include discussion areas for key topics such as the Budget, Park Development, and a free form area for residents to make suggestions.

5. Assist in the resumption of Roseville U program

Staff Liaison Bowman stated reported that-Roseville U has had resumed and attendance has been disappointing. Signups are 20-30 people with only 11-12 attending. This does not justify the staff time involved with these programs but those that have attended are engaged and interested in the topics being discussed.

Commissioner Sanders stated she had attended all of them but one due to it being on a Sunday. Those that were present at the K-9 session thought it was done very well and Finance Director Miller was engaging during the finance sessions. She suggested 3-hour sessions were too long for people to commit to. She would recommend having the sessions on a regular day at a regular time and keep it consistent to increase attendance.

Chair Becker stated he had attended <u>Roseville U the session</u> prior to <u>the format change them being stopped</u> and <u>found</u> those <u>had been to be</u> well attended. The format change may be causing some of the problems that the program is experiencing.

Commissioner Sanders stated when she organizes events she does not expect 100% participation but as word of mouth spreads about the events attendance increases. She encouraged the City to continue hosting Roseville U because the quality will only improve.

ii. Implementation of Other Strategic Recommendations

1. Involvement of Underrepresented Groups – Interagency Task Force and the Karen

Commissioner Sanders provided an update on the Interagency Task Force working with the Karen Community. She stated Community Relations Coordinator Corey Yunke spoke about police youth activity with Tamarck Park, City Manager Pat Trudgeon provided an update about a pathway or partial sidewalk along Larpenteur to connect the apartments on the southeast corner and the trail that goes to Reservoir Woods. It was meant to connect community gardens but that may not be an ideal location. The International Institute reported that they have recently accepted a family and they are not getting many Napali families. There was a report that there are still lawsuits pending with the Karen and their landlords. There are plans to have a lemonade stand for the kids to participate with the Police League.

Commissioner Grefenberg <u>stated_indicated_he had_difficulty_not_attended_attending-these_lnteragency_meetings_and he would appreciate if Commissioner Sanders would agree to be the Commission's liaison with this task force. Sanders agreed.</u>

b. Rosefest Parade and Party in the Park Planning

Commissioner Jonathan Miller stated they had developed a 5-point plan. They discussed who could march with the CEC and they had decided Commissioners and their family members would be invited. They had not discussed in associated Task Force members would be invited.

It was the consensus of the Community Engagement Commission to invite the members on of the associated Task Forces to walk with the Commission in the Rosefest Parade. Commissioner Grefenberg would talk to the Task Force at the next meeting.

Commissioner Miller stated they had been decided the Commission would not hand out business cards or brochures during the parade; and it would be easier and more effective to have a banner made. They had a quote for \$60 and one for \$8.00 a foot.

Commissioner Manke stated the \$60 quote was from Vista Print and they would be able to have the banner shipped in time for the parade.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated he liked the idea of using a local business but this would not be a requirement.

Commissioner Miller stated the t-shirts would be \$14 to \$18 per shirt and they would like to have 10 additional ones made.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated reported that he had found 2-medium and 2-extra large at his home. One of these would be reserved but the others would be available. He suggested using the same person the Commission had used last year because he was local, the Commission had a good experience with him, and he was about the cheapest they could find. The price break would be at 12 shirts and it would drop to \$9.50 per shirt. He asked if there had been a budget set for the parade.

Chair Becker stated there had not been a budget set for the parade. <u>Commissioner Grefenberg</u> asked if there should be a maximum budget recommendation for purchase of shirts and candy because this is what had been done in the past.

Commissioner Grefenberg asked if there should be a maximum budget recommendation for purchase of shirts and candy because this is what had been done in the past.

Commissioner Manke stated the Commission would have the choice between a small pickup truck or convertible. She has asked that the owner of the vehicle be the driver. The primary reason for having a vehicle would be to carry the candy and water, if Commissioners need to sit during the parade, and store the personal belongings of the Commissioners.

Commissioner Sanders asked if bicycles were allowed in the parade. <u>Commissioner Manke</u> stated bicycles may be difficult because parades speed up and slow down continuously.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 8 of 22

316 Commissioner Manke stated bicycles may be difficult because parades speed up and slow down 317 continuously. 318 Commissioner Grefenberg asked if he could bring his dog. 319 320 Commissioner Manke stated dogs were permitted. 321 322 Commissioner Miller sated the last item they should be included in the parade decide is funding 323 for candy to hand out during the parade. He asked if there were any other ideas. He stated they 324 would need about a week for the banner and a few days for the t-shirts. 325 326 Chair Becker stated when he had been on the Human Rights Commission they had an annual 327 budget so the Commission knew what they had to work with. He asked Mr. Bowman for 328 329 guidance since the Commission does not have a budget at this time. 330 Staff Liaison Bowman suggested the Commission move forward as fiscally responsibly as 331 332 possible. 333 Commissioner Grefenberg stated with 10 t-shirts they are looking at about \$220 \$140 plus the 334 cost of candy. 335 336 Vice Chair Gardella suggested the Commission purchase 5 t-shirts since Commissioner 337 338 Grefenberg had found 4 in his closet.- Commissioner Miller stated he could email the Commission and get a count of t-shirts that would be needed. 339 340 Commissioner Miller stated he could email the Commission and get a count of t-shirts that 341 would be needed. 342 343 Chair Becker asked if they would have everyone wearing t-shirts. Staff Liaison Bowman 344 suggested only Commissioners wear the CEC t-shirts and families wear a similar color shirt. 345 346 Staff Liaison Bowman suggested only Commissioners wear the CEC t-shirts and families wear a 347 similar color shirt. 348 349 Vice Chair Gardella suggested purchasing 5 t-shirts to keep on hand for new Commissioners as 350 351 they are appointed. Commissioner Grefenberg stated this would be a fair amount. 352 Commissioner Grefenberg stated this would be a fair amount. 353 354 Commissioner Manke suggested purchasing a minimum of 6 large bags of candy for the parade. 355 356 Vice Chair Gardella suggested approving a budget of up to \$250 for the parade. Commissioner 357 Manke suggested adding the expenses for Party in the Park because they would be handing out 358 candy and other items at this event as well. 359 360

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 9 of 22

361 Commissioner Manke suggested adding the expenses for Party in the Park because they would be handing out candy and other items at this event as well. 362 363 Vice Chair Gardella made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Manke, approving a budget 364 up to \$300 for expenses related to the Rosefest Parade and the Party in the Park events. 365 366 Commissioner Grefenberg asked if there was a registration fee for the Party in the Park event. 367 Commissioner Manke stated responded that from what she has found out, there is no charge for 368 Party in the Park and she would be able to get chairs and a tent. 369 370 Commissioner Manke stated from what she has found out, there is no charge for Party in the 371 Park and she would be able to get chairs and a tent. 372 373 374 Commissioner Grefenberg suggested having more than candy to provide to people during this event. Commissioner Manke explained this event would be a good opportunity to hand out 375 information to people and talk to them about the Commission. She suggested working with the 376 377 City to get a postcard with the website on it. The Commissioners could promote the website and the module. The Task Force or other Commissions could join them and provide literature as 378 well. 379 380 Commissioner Manke explained this event would be a good opportunity to hand out information 381 to people and talk to them about the Commission. She suggested working with the City to get a 382 postcard with the website on it. The Commissioners could promote the website and the module. 383 The Task Force or other Commissions could join them and provide literature as well. 384 385 Chair Becker suggested if they wanted to sign people up to receive the City news newsletter they 386 should do it directly on the website rather than write down peoples email addresses and enter 387 them later. 388 389 Chair Becker called the motion to a vote. **Motion passed unanimously.** 390 391 Commissioner Grefenberg stated it would require someone to organize having other 392 Commissions attend the Party in the Park event. He asked who would be doing this. 393 394 Commissioner Manke stated volunteered she could send out an email to the other Commissions 395 and invite them to attend. Commissioner Grefenberg stated he would be willing to help 396 Commissioner Manke and he would invite the Planning Commission at their next joint meeting. 397 398 399 Commissioner Grefenberg stated he would be willing to help Commissioner Manke and he would invite the Planning Commission at their next joint meeting. 400 401 Commissioner Grefenberg sated said he would not be able to attend the Party in the Park event. 402 He asked who would be staffing this event. Commissioner Miller stated responded that during 403

the last conversations Chair Becker and Commissioner Manke were available for this.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 10 of 22

Commissioner Miller stated during the last conversations Chair Becker and Commissioner Manke were available for this.

c. Other old business

There being no further old business to discuss, Chair Becker moved to the next agenda item.

Chair, Committee, and Staff Reports

a. Chair's Report

Chair Becker stated the deadline for applications for the open Commission seat <u>created by Desiree Mueller's recent resignation</u> had been June 10. There were two (2) applicants and they are scheduled for interviews on Monday, June 15. The applications are included in the City Council packet.

Becker noted iHt is customary for the Chair to sit with the Council and ask a single question. He would be traveling during this and would not attend so he has asked Vice Chair Gardella to attend these interviews. Vice Chair Gardella has tentative travel plans as well. Although she is working to rearrange these plans there may be a need to ask another Commissioner to attend. He Chair Becker stated said anyone who is interested in participating in the Council interviews of the applicants should contact him after the meeting. He stated he would be watching the interviews in order to provide an assessment for the Council. The Council will make a final decision at their June 22 meeting.

Commissioner Grefenberg suggested one of the other Commissioners volunteer for this since he has done it several times in the past. <u>Commissioner Manke stated she would be able to attend if needed.</u>

Commissioner Manke stated she would be able to attend if needed.

b. Operations Committee Report

There being no report from the Operations Committee, Chair Becker moved to the next <u>agenda</u> item on the agenda.

c. Staff Report

i. Upcoming Items on Future Council Agenda

 Staff Liaison Bowman stated the next Council meeting would be in a workshop format and contain budgetary items. This leads up to the City Manager Budget presentation on June 13.

Commissioner Grefenberg added the Council would be holding a public hearing on the Strategic Initiatives on June 22.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 11 of 22

ii. Other Items

There being on other items to discuss, Chair Becker moved to the next item on the agenda.

New Business

a. Strategic Initiatives from 2/17 and 2/18 Council/Staff Workshops

Chair Becker opened the discussion by reviewing the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary disseminated by City Manager Trudgeon to all Commission members, noting the five strategic priorities, including the prominent position given to civic engagement. Becker went on to point out the key outcome indicators or metric and targets for measuring success. Becker also noted that a further hearing on the topic would be held at the June 22 City Council meeting. He concluded by indicating that it had been requested the Commission add this item to the agenda for discussion and possible action.

Commissioner Grefenberg provided a bench handout of the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary for 2016-2018, a copy of which is attached and hereby made a part of this record.

Commission member Grefenberg reported on his public comment during the previous priority planning discussion held by the City Council. He said that he, Sherry Sanders, Diane Hilden, Lisa McCormack and Donna Spencer testified in a general way at the first priority planning discussion on May 11 in opposition to the report using business terms to describe citizens, specifically referring to them as "customers". Grefenberg added that during the most recent priority planning discussion on June 8 he had testified that the Community Engagement Commission had gone to the City Council in December of 2014 with a list of four initiatives, with the Council adding two more. Grefenberg relayed to the Council that the commission was doing a lot of work with each of the initiatives and wanted to know if the Council was interested in hearing the Commission's reactions. Grefenberg said that his concern was that the Commission would be wasting it's time if, considering the priority planning document, it was not going to have the opportunity to follow through on the commitment the Council made in December of 2014 to work on the initiatives it had then proposed.

Commission member Sanders said that Grefenberg's comments before the City Council resonated with a lot of people who felt similarly and that she wholly supported them.

Grefenberg said the entire reason for the commission's existence was to advise the Council on civic engagement and community engagement, and that in his opinion the Commission should recommend to the Council that it only take action on the strategic priority column of the priority planning document and that the Council should further refine the rest of the indicators and targets at a later date during which the Commission could offer its advice pursuant to the city statute establishing the Community Engagement Commission.

Commissioner Grefenberg provided a bench handout of the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary for 2016-2018. He stated coming out of these key workshops had been five (5) strategic priorities. Civic Engagement is up front. The City has identified key performance indicators and a target value to measure success. Commissioner Grefenberg continued that -of the five areas the Council was considered as priorities, Civic Engagement was listed first. The three (3) key initiatives identified for civic engagement were: under this category were: create regular community surveys, create strategy for use of volunteers, and establish a community oriented outreach program. The City had a meeting last month for public comments and will be asking for public comment again at its opening up the June 22 Council meeting for discussions on these initiatives. During the meeting last month the Council did not have subtenant substantive discussions on the plan so the at this time the Commission does not have direction on what the Council would want.

Grefenberg said that it was commendable that civic engagement was clearly a priority item with the Council, but that the three specific initiatives listed as civic engagement were not. He also said that the third initiative dealing with engagement had little to do with what the commission's priorities were, which is to engage people on a two-way level and that since it was the commission's role to give advice on these types of issues it should do so.

Commissioner Sanders stated that during the workshop sessions she noticed that civic engagement and community engagement were being used interchangeably and thought it was important to clear up the difference between the two for those that may not have an understanding.

Commission member Manke asked if the priority planning document at this stage was still a rough draft and was still being fine-tuned.

Grefenberg said that the way it was presented by staff was that staff wanted Council action soon. He said that he did not believe that the Council was prepared to agree to the document in its current stage and that the Council had not yet taken a position. Regardless, it was his belief that it was staff's intent to get approval for this plan through the year 2018. Grefenberg added that he did not know what that means for the Commission's initiatives.

To clarify, Staff Liaison Bowman asked Grefenberg whether it was his concern that the document would limit the Commission's scope and that it would not be permitted to work on initiatives outside of the document that it may bring forward.

Grefenberg said that was one concern, but that he had others, including that no one on city staff understands civic engagement, much less community engagement. He added the need to clarify that at least two of the initiatives in the priority planning document don't even approach community or civic engagement. Grefenberg then referred to Chair Becker's written comments to the City Council of June 8 regarding the priority planning document, noting that one was primarily a measure of success – the community survey – and that the second was to strategize on volunteer strategies. He said that the opportunity to be included in developing strategies was the same thing the Commission was asking for.

Commission member Miller then asked if the priority planning document was to be the guiding document for City staff.

Becker said that it was his understanding that it was to be determined whether or not it gets approved and to what extent if approved it would become the guiding documents for staff.

Miller said that his concern was that the document seemed more like the initial thoughts of a strategy session rather than a final plan and that he did not believe the plan was finished enough to be become the guiding document for the City for the next three years.

Commissioner Sanders stated during the Council retreat in February many members had been using civic and community engagement interchangeably and a lot of the discussion had been around community engagement. She would like to be sure the City is clear on the difference. She agreed with Commissioner Grefenberg on the Plan's strategic initiatives.

Commissioner Manke stated the plan summary could be considered a draft and the first strategic priority should read civic/community engagement.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated commented that the way it is presented by Staff is was that Council action would be requested at the next meeting; he added and the Commission does not know what this would mean in terms of the initiatives they have set it had presented to the Council last December.

Vice Chair Gardella said that if staff was interested in coming up with priorities that have to do with civic engagement or community engagement that it would behoove the process to involve the Commission. She added that there was a level of expertise in reference to these issues on the Commission and that it had something valuable to offer.

Gardella went on to clarify her concerns that if decision on these topic were made outside of consultation and if strategic priorities would be created for a year, or three years, the topics the Commission was created to discuss then she would have to question what the role and purpose of the Commission is.

Grefenberg then discussed suggested making a motion recommending that the City Council consider the Community Engagement Commission's desire to take part in the discussion and provide advice on the decision of the specific civic engagement initiatives (right had column of the document) included in the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary.

 Chair Becker then discussed his written comments submitted to the City Council for the June 8 meeting regarding the priority planning document proposal. Chair Becker stated he had thanked the Council for providing an opportunity for public comment and the comments he had provided to the Council had been his own and not from the Commission, as the Commission had not had an opportunity to discuss the plan. He was glad to have civic engagement as one of the top five

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 14 of 22

(5) priorities for the City and it backs-up the Council's commitment to civic and community engagement.

He Becker clarified he does have reservations regarding the specifics in the Plan document. In sum his recommendation is that Council take action only on the strategic priority column of the (priority planning) document and further refine the rest of it – the specific initiatives, indicators and targets – at a later date. He also said that he was disappointed with the specifics of the document's targets and indicators and was concerned that if they become the guiding document that what new ideas the Commission brings forward in the future may be disadvantaged due to the fact that an existing work plan already exists.

Commissioner Sanders stated she had attended the Council meeting and Mayor Roe had pointed out that this was a working draft but many people fear that the City and Commissions would be locked into this plan.

<u>Chair Becker stated noted Mayor Roe had also stated the City could choose to make the initiatives in the plan the only things the City is working on.</u>

<u>Commissioner Miller asked if other Commissions have been involved and provided public comments.</u>

Chair Becker stated at the last meeting the only comments provided had been from the CEC and the Neighborhood Association Task Force.

Staff Liaison Bowman stated there had not been much feedback on the Strategic Plan and the primary concerns have come from the CEC. Commissioner Grefenberg stated there is no other Commission that is so specifically identified except the Housing and Redevelopment Authority.

Commissioner Miller stated said there seemed to be consensus on the Commission's role to advise the Council around issues of civic and community engagement and the Commission would like the opportunity to do this so with a document like the Strategic Plan. He suggested the Commission also ask for clarification on the impacts this Plan would have on the current work of the Commission.

Gardella **moved** and Grefenberg **seconded a motion** stating that the Commission request that the Council and or staff involve the Community Engagement Commission in the formation of the strategic initiatives, key outcome indicators and targets related to the strategic priority of civic engagement. The Commission also requests clarification of the relationship between its current strategic priorities, approved by the Council in December 2014, and how they relate to the priorities laid out in the priority planning document.

Commissioner Grefenberg asked if Commission Gardella would agree to a friendly amendment adding the words whereas we appreciate and are thankful to find civic engagement among the five selected strategic priorities, to the beginning of her motion. Commissioner Gardella agreed to accept this as a friendly amendment.

Commissioner Miller stated it was important for the Commission to be able to weigh in on a guiding document pertaining to civic engagement.

Chair Becker reread the complete motion: whereas we appreciate and are thankful to find civic engagement among the five selected strategic priorities, we request that the Council and or staff involve the Community Engagement Commission in the formation of the strategic initiatives, key outcome indicators and targets related to the strategic priority of civic engagement. The Commission also requests clarification of the relationship between its current strategic priorities, approved by the Council in December 2014, and how they relate to the priorities laid out in the priority planning document.

There being no further discussion, the motion was called to a vote. **Motion passed** unanimously.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated at a previous meeting, five (5) members of the Task Force and Commissioner Sanders and himself had testified.

Chair Becker stated it had been requested the Commission add this item to the agenda for discussion and possible action.

Commissioner Sanders, Donna Spencer, and he had provided testimony in opposition to the construct the report had of using business terms to describe citizen. Citizens are not customers. At the meeting last week he had testified primarily that the Commission had gone to the Council in early December with a list of four (4) initiatives. The Council added two (2) more to this list. The Commission has been doing a lot of work on all of these initiatives. He let the Council know that the Neighborhood Association Task Force would be coming forward with recommendation late this summer. He had asked if the Council wanted to hear reactions he stated he personally would not want to continue if the Commission and/or Task Force would not have the opportunity to follow through on the initiatives the Council had committed to in December.

Commissioner Sanders stated even though Commissioner Grefenberg had been speaking for himself, his comments did resonate with several others in attendance.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated his opinion was the Commission is suppose to advise the City on civic and community engagement and he would recommend at this time the Council take action on the Strategic Priority column that includes: civic engagement, housing and redevelopment, effective governance, organizational effectiveness, and infrastructure sustainability, and at a later date further define the key outcome indicators, targets, and strategic initiatives. The Commission would then be able to offer advice on community and civic engagement. He does not believe that the three (3) initiatives identified under civic engagement are truly civic engagement initiatives and establishing a community-oriented outreach program

emanates from the Police Department. It is the Commissioner role to give advice to the Council on these types of issues.

Commissioner Sanders stated during the retreat many members had been using civic and community engagement interchangeably and a lot of the discussion had been around community engagement. She would like to be sure the City is clear on the difference. She agreed with Commissioner Grefenberg on the strategic initiatives.

Commissioner Manke stated the plan summary could be considered a draft and the first strategic priority should read civic/community engagement.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated the way it is presented by Staff is Council action would be requested at the next meeting and the Commission does not know what this would mean in terms of the initiatives they have set.

Staff Liaison Bowman clarified commissioner Grefenberg's concern was that these initiatives would be all the City would work on and not take action on anything the CEC brings forward.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated his other concerns include City Staff not understanding what civic and community engagement are. The Commission needs to clarify that at least two (2) of the initiates do not approach community or civic engagement. The first initiative, conduct regular community surveys, is a measure of success and is something the City does every other year. The second initiative, volunteer strategies, is the same thing the Community Engagement Commission is asking for and this is to strategize with the Commission and various Task Forces. There is not a firm opinion but Staff would like Council action on this plan.

Chair Becker stated it has not been determined to what extent what impact this plan would have on the Commissions if the plan were approved.

Commissioner Miller stated the plan proposed at this time does not seem complete but rather more of a starting document.

 Vice Chair Gardella stated she does not know Staff and would not say that none of the Staff know what civic and community engagement are. If the Council is interested in setting priorities for a year or three (3) years, for either civic engagement or civic and community engagement, then the Commission should be involved in this discussion. If these things are decided outside of the Commission then she would ask what the role of the Commission is.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated he did not want to discuss these issues and advise the Council if the Council was not interested in hearing these recommendations because these are not part of the City's Strategic Plan.

 Commissioner Grefenberg moved to recommend the Commission take a position asking the Council to consider the Community Engagement Commission's desire to take part in discussions and provide advice on the decisions for the specific strategic initiatives.

721

722

723

724725

726

727 728

729

730

731

732

733

734 735

736

737

738

739

740741

Chair Becker stated he had thanked the Council for providing an opportunity for public comment and the comments he had provided to the Council had been his own and not from the Commission, as the Commission had not had an opportunity to discuss the plan. He was glad to have civic engagement as one of the top five (5) priorities for the City and it backs-up the Council's commitment to civic and community engagement. He clarified he does have reservations regarding the specifics in the Plan document. In his experience you start with a vision, then this vision becomes a strategy, you then form tactics, then you measure the success of the tactics. The ordering of the Plan from left to right is problematic because it starts with the priority, then looks at the key outcomes and target to come up with projects. His recommendation to the Council had been the Council only take action on the strategic priority column of the Strategic Plan and further define the key indicators, targets, and strategic initiatives at a later date. When looking at the specifics he is disappointed. Measuring satisfaction of residents with City services would not considered civic engagement. The target of increasing volunteer opportunities would not be a clear indicator of civic engagement. Looking at the number of new people are volunteering would provide a more accurate measurement of engagement. Civic and community engagement are muddled in the document. He has not gotten a clear indication from the City if these would be the only work plan for the Council for the next couple of years or if other recommendations, such as neighborhood associations, would be considered. He also stated the metrics should have more time and thought in them to ensure that other areas are not negatively impacted by shifting priorities. He had also questioned how the priorities the Council had approved for the Commission and any new initiatives would fit into the Strategic Plan. He clarified that the strategic initiatives listed appeared to be programs or projects that already existed and there were no new programs or initiatives.

742743744

745

Commissioner Sanders stated she had attended the Council meeting and Mayor Roe had pointed out that this was a working draft but many people fear that the City and Commissions would be locked into this plan.

746747748

Chair Becker stated Mayor Roe had also stated the City could choose to make the initiatives in the plan the only things the City is working on.

749750751

Commissioner Miller asked if other Commissions have been involved and provided public comments.

752753754

Chair Becker stated at the last meeting the only comments provided had been from the CEC and the Neighborhood Association Task Force.

756 757

755

Commissioner Grefenberg stated there is no other Commission that is so specifically identified except the Housing and Redevelopment Authority.

758759760

Staff Liaison Bowman stated there had not been much feedback on the Strategic Plan and the primary concerns have come from the CEC.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 18 of 22

Commissioner Grefenberg stated he wanted to be sure it was clear in the record that the statements that had been provided up to this point have been individual statements and not from the Commission.

Commissioner Miller stated there was consensus on the Commission's role to advise the Council around issues of civic and community engagement and the Commission would like the opportunity to do this with a document like the Strategic Plan. He suggested the Commission also ask for clarification on the impacts this Plan would have on the current work of the Commission.

Vice Chair Gardella stated she had understood that the list the Council had approved for the Commission in December was essentially a list of strategic priorities for community and civic engagement. She would like to know where these priorities are in relation to the initiatives identified in the Strategic Plan.

Vice Chair Gardella made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Grefenberg, to ask the City Council and/or Staff to seek input from the Community Engagement Commission regarding key indicators, targets, and strategic initiatives as they related to the civic engagement strategic priority, and request clarification on the impact the Strategic Plan would have on the Commissions currently approved strategic initiatives.

Commissioner Grefenberg offered a friendly amendment to the motion and start with: "the Commission appreciates that civic engagement was selected among the five (5) strategic priorities."

Vice Chair Gardella stated she would accept this friendly amendment.

Commissioner Miller stated it was important for the Commission to be able to weigh in on a guiding document pertaining to civic engagement.

Chair Becker stated the Strategic Plan that is being presented is a good starting point for the City.

Chair Becker read the motion: Whereas the Commission is appreciative and thankful to be among the five (5) strategic priorities, the Commission requests that the Council and/or Staff involve the Community Engagement Commission in the formation of the strategic initiatives, key outcome indicators, and targets related tot eh strategic priority of civic engagement and request clarification of how these strategic priorities relate to the previously approved strategic priorities for the Commission.

The motion was called to a vote. Motion passed unanimously.

 Commissioner Grefenberg stated one of the issues coming up that the Commission may want to help would be to define community engagement and civic engagement. <u>Vice Chair Gardella suggest this discussion be added to the next meeting and she would take the lead on drafting these definitions.</u>

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 19 of 22

Vice Chair Gardella suggest this discussion be added to the next meeting and she would take the lead on drafting these definitions.

Commissioner Greenberg stated he would forward the working definitions the Task Force had developed.

Vice Chair Gardella stated added that there are also tools that help to distinguish between outreach and engagement.

Chair Becker suggested the Commission consider a future discussion on recommending to the City that when there are public input opportunities on the agenda, the agenda be adjusted so that these items remain on schedule. This would allow the public to can plan accordingly and encourage public input.

b. Community Engagement Commission Webpage Content

Chair Becker stated the Community Engagement Commission has a webpage with the ability to add items and documents they feel would be beneficial. Staff Liaison Bowman has added the Recommendations document to the website. Commissioner Grefenberg does have specific adjustments he would like to make. He asked if there was any other input of what the Commissioners would like to see on the webpage.

Commissioner Miller suggested adding a photo of the Commission. <u>Vice Chair Gardella suggested also adding photos from the parade.</u>

Vice Chair Gardella suggested also adding photos from the parade.

Chair Becker stated they would take an updated group photo at the next Commission meeting.

Commissioner Manke stated they could also include photos of the Task Force groups.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated his proposed charges to the Commission's web page are proposed to make the description more closely adhere to City Ordinance 1462, which established the CEC and to distinguish between what the Commission must do and may do. He clarified he would suggest adding a bullet item: "Advise the City Council on the community's visioning process." Under Commission Duties and Functions: The Commission may.

It was the consensus of the Commission to request Staff Liaison Bowman to make the changes to the website as recommended by Commissioner Grefenberg's memo.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated once the Commission has approved a Task Force recommendation report, these should be added to the website.

Community Engagement Commission Minutes June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes* Page 20 of 22

Chair Becker suggested also including a report when the priority projects are completed that outlines what the Commission did and how they did it.

Commissioner Grefenberg clarified this should be designated as the priority projects as approved by the City Council in December 2014.

Vice Chair Gardella stated sharing progress reports on the priority projects would be more relevant content and front page than the Ordinance language. She would suggested changing the Commission Overview to just a broad statement about the Commissions purpose then outline what they are working on and opportunities for residents to participate.

Commissioner Grefenberg clarified that Vice Chair Gardella is suggesting including a priority list statement for the priorities approved by the City Council last December.

Chair Becker suggested adding a section to the website called Current Priority Projects and list the Commissions seven (7) current priority projects. <u>Vice Chair Gardella suggested including the name of the lead contact for each project.</u>

Vice Chair Gardella suggested including the name of the lead contact for each project.

It was the consensus to add "Current Priority Projects" with a list of the projects and lead contact person information to the Commission's webpage.

c. Community Engagement Commission Social Gathering

Chair Becker stated the Commission had talked about potentially having a social gathering and he would <u>like</u> to have Commissioners think about this for discussion at the next meeting. He stated he would support a social gathering for Commissioners to get to know each other. During the <u>Commission's?</u> election <u>of chairs and vice-chairs</u> it had been noted that Commissioners would like to see less formality. <u>Such a social gathering This</u>-should be noticed and in an area that would be open to the public.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated other Commissions have had a buffet type gathering prior to the start of a meeting. He would like to see this social gathering include the members of the various task forces. This would be an event paid for by the City.

Commissioner Manke stated Commissioner Grefenberg's suggestion would be more of an introduction to the public of the Commission. What this event is proposed to be is for the Commissioners to have a potluck social gathering for Commissioners to get a chance to know each other in a less formal setting. This would not be something the City would pay for.

Commissioner Grefenberg stated unlike other Commissions, the CEC did not have a gathering paid for by the City but he would be agreeable to what the consensus would be.

Commissioner Manke stated she would take the lead in organizing this event.

Commission Communications, Reports, and Announcements

899 900 901

Commissioner Grefenberg stated on June 22 there would be another City Council meeting regarding the Strategic Plan and there would be an opportunity for public comment.

902 903 904

Commissioner-Initiated Items for Future Meetings

905 906 907

Chair Becker stated the July meeting would include discussions and preparation for the August joint meeting with the City Council.

908 909 910

Vice Chair Gardella included discussions on the definitions for civic engagement and community engagement.

911912913

Commissioner Grefenberg stated there would be a swearing of for the new Commissioner at the next meeting.

914915916

Recap of Commission Actions this meeting

917 918 919

Chair Becker stated they would be coordinating all the printing for the banner and t-shirts for the Rosefest Parade and Party in the Park events.

920 921

- Vice Chair Gardella stated Commissioner Manke would take the lead on inviting other
- Commissions to the Party in the Park event and Commissioner Grefenberg would take the lead on inviting the Task Force members to the parade.

925

Staff Liaison Bowman stated he would forward the Commission's recommendation on the Strategic Plan.

928

Commissioner Grefenberg asked if this would be brought to the City Council.

930

Staff Liaison Bowman stated this would go to City Manager Trudgeon and he would decide.

932

Commissioner Grefenberg noted that stated in the past, recommendations have gone directly to the Council. and he would send this recommendation to the entire Council as well.

935

Chair Becker instructed Staff Liaison Bowman to take the motion to the City Manager Trudgeon and if he has any problems with forwarding this to the City Council to contact him.

938

Vice Chair Gardella stated Commissioner Manke would be available as a backup if she is unable to attend the interviews for the open Commission seat.

Page 22 of 22 942 Staff Liaison Bowman stated the website would also be updated as requested by the Commission. 943 944 Commissioner Manke asked Staff Liaison Bowman to send out the information on the parade so 945 Commissioners knew when and where to be. 946 947 948 Commissioner Grefenberg stated the Commission would be close to the City Council in the lineup for the parade. He stated he would work with the contact person he has for the t-shirts. 949 950 951 952 Adjourn 953 Commissioner Grefenberg made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Manke to adjourn the 954 meeting. The motion carried unanimously. 955 956 Meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m. 957

Community Engagement Commission Minutes

June 11, 2015 – *Draft Minutes*

Respectfully submitted,

TimeSaver Off Site Secretarial, Inc.

Tina Borg

958

959 960

961

Community Engagement Commission Partial Meeting Summary June 11, 2015

Commissioner Present: Scot Becker, Theresa Gardella, Jonathan Miller, Michelle Manke,

Sherry Sanders and Gary Grefenberg.

Commissioners Absent: None.

Staff Present: Garry Bowman

New Business

a. Strategic Initiatives from 2/17 and 2/18 Council/Staff Workshops

Chair Becker opened the discussion by reviewing the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary disseminated by City Manager Trudgeon to all Commission members, noting the five strategic priorities, including the prominent position given to civic engagement. Becker went on to point out the key outcome indicators or metric and targets for measuring success. Becker also noted that a further hearing on the topic would be held at the June 22 City Council meeting.

Commission member Grefenberg reported on his public comment during the previous priority planning discussion held by the City Council. He said that he, Sherry Sanders, Diane Hilden, Lisa McCormack and Donna Spencer testified in a general way at the first priority planning discussion on May 11 in opposition to the report using business terms to describe citizens, specifically referring to them as "customers". Grefenberg added that during the most recent priority planning discussion on June 8 he had testified that the Community Engagement Commission had gone to the City Council in December of 2014 with a list of four initiatives, with the Council adding two more. Grefenberg relayed to the Council that the commission was doing a lot of work with each of the initiatives and wanted to know if the Council was interested in hearing the Commission's reactions. Grefenberg said that his concern was that the Commission would be wasting it's time if, considering the priority planning document, it was not going to have the opportunity to follow through on the commitment the Council made in December of 2014 to work on the initiatives.

Commission member Sanders said that Grefenberg's comments before the City Council resonated with a lot of people who felt similarly and that she wholly supported them.

Grefenberg said the entire reason for the commission's existence was to advise the Council on civic engagement and community engagement, and that in his opinion the Commission should recommend to the Council that it only take action on the strategic priority column of the priority planning document and that the Council should further refine the rest of the indicators and targets at a later date during which the Commission could offer its advice pursuant to statute establishing the Community Engagement Commission.

Grefenberg said that it was commendable that civic engagement was clearly a priority item, but that the three initiatives are not civic engagement. He also said that the third initiative dealing with engagement had little to do with what the commission's priorities were, which is to engage people on a two-way level and that since it was the commission's role to give advice on these types of issues it should do so.

Sanders stated that during the workshop sessions she noticed that civic engagement and community engagement were being used interchangeably and thought it was important to clear up the difference between the two for those that may not have an understanding.

Commission member Manke asked if the priority planning document at this stage was still a rough draft and was still being fine-tuned.

Grefenberg said that the way it was presented by staff was that staff wanted Council action. He said that he did not believe that the Council was prepared to agree to the document in its entirety at the stage and that the Council had not yet taken a position. Regardless, it was his belief that it was staff's intent to get approval for this plan through the year 2018. Grefenberg added that he did not know what that means for the Commission's initiatives.

To clarify, Staff Liaison Bowman asked Grefenberg whether it was his concern that the document would limit the Commission's scope and that it would not be permitted to work on initiatives outside of the document that it may bring forward.

Grefenberg said that was one concern, but that he had others, including that no one on city staff understands civic engagement, much less community engagement. He added the need to clarify that at least two of the initiatives in the priority planning document don't even approach community or civic engagement. Grefenberg then referred to Chair Becker's written comments to the City Council of June 8 regarding the priority planning document, noting that one was primarily a measure of success – the community survey – and that the second was to strategize on volunteer strategies. He said that the opportunity to be included in developing strategies was the same thing the Commission was asking for.

Commission member Miller then asked if the priority planning document was to be the guiding document for City staff.

Becker said that it was his understanding that it was to be determined whether or not it gets approved and to what extent if approved it would become the guiding documents for staff.

Miller said that his concern was that the document seemed more like the initial thoughts of a strategy session rather than a final plan and that he did not believe the plan was finished enough to be become the guiding document for the City for the next three years.

Community Engagement Commission June 11, 2015 – Partial Meeting Summary Page 3 of 3

Vice Chair Gardella said that if staff was interested in coming up with priorities that have to do with civic engagement or community engagement that it would behoove the process to involve the Commission. She added that there was a level of expertise in reference to these issues on the Commission and that it had something valuable to offer.

Gardella went on to clarify her concerns that if decision on these topic were made outside of consultation and if strategic priorities would be created for a year, or three years, the topics the Commission was created to discuss then she would have to question what the role and purpose of the Commission is.

Grefenberg then discussed making a motion recommending that the City Council consider the Community Engagement Commission's desire to take part in the discussion and provide advice on the decision of the specific civic engagement initiatives (right had column of the document) included in the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary.

Chair Becker then discussed his written comment submitted to the City Council for the June 8 meeting regarding the priority planning document proposal. In sum his recommendation is that Council take action only on the strategic priority column of the (priority planning) document and further refine the rest of it – the specific initiatives, indicators and targets – at a later date. He also said that he was disappointed with the specifics of the document's targets and indicators and was concerned that if they become the guiding document that what new ideas the Commission brings forward in the future may be disadvantaged due to the fact that an existing work plan already exists.

Gardella **moved** and Grefenberg **seconded a motion** stating whereas we appreciate and are thankful to find civic engagement among the five selected strategic priorities we request that the Council and or staff involve the Community Engagement Commission in the formation of the strategic initiatives, key outcome indicators and targets related to the strategic priority of civic engagement. The Commission also requests clarification of the relationship between its current strategic priorities, approved by the Council in December 2014, and how they relate to the priories laid out in the priority planning document.

There being no further discussion, the motion was called to a vote. **Motion passed unanimously.**

Communications Manager/Community Engagement Commission Staff Liaison

Respectfully submitted,

128 Garry Bowman

Community Engagement Commission Partial Meeting Summary June 11, 2015

Commissioner Present: Scot Becker, Theresa Gardella, Jonathan Miller, Michelle Manke,

Sherry Sanders and Gary Grefenberg.

Commissioners Absent: None.

Staff Present: Garry Bowman

New Business

a. Strategic Initiatives from 2/17 and 2/18 Council/Staff Workshops

Chair Becker opened the discussion by reviewing the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary disseminated by City Manager Trudgeon to all Commission members, noting the five strategic priorities, including the prominent position given to civic engagement. Becker went on to point out the key outcome indicators or metric and targets for measuring success. Becker also noted that a further hearing on the topic would be held at the June 22 City Council meeting.

Commission member Grefenberg reported on his public comment during the previous priority planning discussion held by the City Council. He said that he, Sherry Sanders, Diane Hilden, Lisa McCormack and Donna Spencer testified in a general way at the first priority planning discussion on May 11 in opposition to the report using business terms to describe citizens, specifically referring to them as "customers". Grefenberg added that during the most recent priority planning discussion on June 8 he had testified that the Community Engagement Commission had gone to the City Council in December of 2014 with a list of four initiatives, with the Council adding two more. Grefenberg relayed to the Council that the commission was doing a lot of work with each of the initiatives and wanted to know if the Council was interested in hearing the Commission's reactions. Grefenberg said that his concern was that the Commission would be wasting it's time if, considering the priority planning document, it was not going to have the opportunity to follow through on the commitment the Council made in December of 2014 to work on the initiatives.

Commission member Sanders said that Grefenberg's comments before the City Council resonated with a lot of people who felt similarly and that she wholly supported them.

Grefenberg said the entire reason for the commission's existence was to advise the Council on civic engagement and community engagement, and that in his opinion the Commission should recommend to the Council that it only take action on the strategic priority column of the priority planning document and that the Council should further refine the rest of the indicators and targets at a later date during which the Commission could offer its advice pursuant to statute establishing the Community Engagement Commission.

Grefenberg said that it was commendable that civic engagement was clearly a priority item, but that the three initiatives are not civic engagement. He also said that the third initiative dealing with engagement had little to do with what the commission's priorities were, which is to engage people on a two-way level and that since it was the commission's role to give advice on these types of issues it should do so.

Sanders stated that during the workshop sessions she noticed that civic engagement and community engagement were being used interchangeably and thought it was important to clear up the difference between the two for those that may not have an understanding.

Commission member Manke asked if the priority planning document at this stage was still a rough draft and was still being fine-tuned.

Grefenberg said that the way it was presented by staff was that staff wanted Council action. He said that he did not believe that the Council was prepared to agree to the document in its entirety at the stage and that the Council had not yet taken a position. Regardless, it was his belief that it was staff's intent to get approval for this plan through the year 2018. Grefenberg added that he did not know what that means for the Commission's initiatives.

To clarify, Staff Liaison Bowman asked Grefenberg whether it was his concern that the document would limit the Commission's scope and that it would not be permitted to work on initiatives outside of the document that it may bring forward.

Grefenberg said that was one concern, but that he had others, including that no one on city staff understands civic engagement, much less community engagement. He added the need to clarify that at least two of the initiatives in the priority planning document don't even approach community or civic engagement. Grefenberg then referred to Chair Becker's written comments to the City Council of June 8 regarding the priority planning document, noting that one was primarily a measure of success – the community survey – and that the second was to strategize on volunteer strategies. He said that the opportunity to be included in developing strategies was the same thing the Commission was asking for.

Commission member Miller then asked if the priority planning document was to be the guiding document for City staff.

Becker said that it was his understanding that it was to be determined whether or not it gets approved and to what extent if approved it would become the guiding documents for staff.

Miller said that his concern was that the document seemed more like the initial thoughts of a strategy session rather than a final plan and that he did not believe the plan was finished enough to be become the guiding document for the City for the next three years.

Community Engagement Commission June 11, 2015 – Partial Meeting Summary Page 3 of 3

Vice Chair Gardella said that if staff was interested in coming up with priorities that have to do with civic engagement or community engagement that it would behoove the process to involve the Commission. She added that there was a level of expertise in reference to these issues on the Commission and that it had something valuable to offer.

Gardella went on to clarify her concerns that if decision on these topic were made outside of consultation and if strategic priorities would be created for a year, or three years, the topics the Commission was created to discuss then she would have to question what the role and purpose of the Commission is.

Grefenberg then discussed making a motion recommending that the City Council consider the Community Engagement Commission's desire to take part in the discussion and provide advice on the decision of the specific civic engagement initiatives (right had column of the document) included in the City of Roseville Strategic Plan Summary.

Chair Becker then discussed his written comment submitted to the City Council for the June 8 meeting regarding the priority planning document proposal. In sum his recommendation is that Council take action only on the strategic priority column of the (priority planning) document and further refine the rest of it – the specific initiatives, indicators and targets – at a later date. He also said that he was disappointed with the specifics of the document's targets and indicators and was concerned that if they become the guiding document that what new ideas the Commission brings forward in the future may be disadvantaged due to the fact that an existing work plan already exists.

Gardella **moved** and Grefenberg **seconded a motion** stating whereas we appreciate and are thankful to find civic engagement among the five selected strategic priorities we request that the Council and or staff involve the Community Engagement Commission in the formation of the strategic initiatives, key outcome indicators and targets related to the strategic priority of civic engagement. The Commission also requests clarification of the relationship between its current strategic priorities, approved by the Council in December 2014, and how they relate to the priories laid out in the priority planning document.

There being no further discussion, the motion was called to a vote. **Motion passed unanimously.**

Communications Manager/Community Engagement Commission Staff Liaison

Respectfully submitted,

128 Garry Bowman

Roseville Community Engagement Commission Definition of Terms for Consideration July 1, 2015

Preface:

The definitions and principles below are offered for discussion at the July meeting of the Community Engagement Commission. This list contains a sampling of definitions and should not be considered exhaustive.

Based on the definitions below, I would like to propose a discussion on the distinction between "community engagement" and "civic engagement", and suggest that the Roseville Community Engagement Commission adopt a working definition of each at our August meeting.

Community Engagement

- Community engagement is connecting with the people who live, work, or do business in St. Paul
 to identify issues and create equitable, sustainable solutions that improve their quality of life. –
 City of St. Paul Emerging Leaders Academy
- Authentic community engagement is the intentional process of co-creating solutions to
 inequities in partnership with people who know through their own experiences the barriers to
 opportunity best. Authentic community engagement is grounded in building relationships based
 on mutual respect and that acknowledge each person's added value to the developing solutions.

 Voices for Racial Justice
- Community engagement is a process that includes multiple techniques to promote the
 participation of residents in community life, especially those who are excluded and isolated, by
 engaging them in collective action to create a healthy community. Building the Field of
 Community Engagement
- Community engagement is the process of working collaboratively with groups of people who are affiliated by geographic proximity, special interests or similar situations with respect to issues affecting their well-being. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Community Organizing

• The process by which people are brought together to act in common self-interest, empowering all community members with the end goal of resolving specific issues and distributing power equally throughout the community. - adapted from Changemakers

Civic Engagement or Civic Participation

Individual and collective actions designed to identify and address issues of public concern. Civic
engagement can take many forms – individual volunteerism, volunteering on city commissions
and committees, involvement with neighborhood groups or other non-profit civic organizations,
and/or organizational involvement for electoral participation. It can include efforts to directly

address an issue, work with others in a community to solve a problem or interact with the institutions of representative democracy. – *American Psychological Association*

Principles of Authentic Community Engagement

- Honoring the expertise in the community. Communities have the knowledge, experience and capacity to identify challenges and to be a part of solutions.
- Commit to communities. Ensure that engagement efforts leave the community better. Stay in it for the long term.
- The goal of authentic community engagement is to work WITH communities NOT FOR, on behalf of, or to do things TO communities
- Understand the historical context in which previous attempts of engagement have been occurring. What are the stories of success, lessons learned, barriers, and tensions?
- Immerse yourself in the community, "establish relationships, build trust, work with the formal and informal leadership, and seek commitment from community organizations and leaders" to co-create (create together) solutions.
- They should be intentional in addressing power imbalances especially those affecting the ability of the community to act as an equal partner.
- Recognize, respect and appreciate the diversity/differences within and across communities.
 Awareness of the factors impacting communities' ability to exercise their power (like historical trauma, oppression, disenfranchisement, etc.) must be intentionally addressed while cocreating, planning, designing, and implementing approaches to engage a community.
- Expect tension. Authentic engagement is not necessarily easy or peaceful.